econsciences.com Peer Reviewer Final Report

Article number				
Title of the article				
Arriving date to Peer Reviewer				
Date of report				
				'
Dear peer reviewer, Please mark below questions by us	sing quinary	Likert syst	tem.	
5-Very good 4-Good 3-Fair 2-Bad	1-	Very bad		
	5	4	3 2	1
Is the article proper for the publishing realm of JEB?				
Are the spelling rules properly fulfilled?				
Is the used language scientific?				
Is there any doubt about plagiarism at any level?				
Are the title and contents in a harmony?				
Is the abstract sufficient?		+ +		
Is the classification sufficient?				
Is the article an original study?				
Does the article make any contribution to the science?				
Does the article make any contribution to the related fi	eld?			
Has the hypothesis been stated clearly?				
Has the theoretical frame of the subject been stated?				
Is there a scientific methodology?				
Are the data set and sources of data reliable?				
Are the evidences clear and sufficient about the hypoth	esis?			
Are the results sufficient?	CSIS.			
Is the literature search sufficient?				
Is there SSCI and Scopus citation about 30 per cent?				
Is it sufficient for the level of JEB Publishing?				
is it sufficient for the level of JED1 doubling:				
Dear Peer Reviewer, please write your final deci	sion about t	he article	in which s	our first
decion was 'It has to be corrected' about. (Your				
suggestions that you wrote in your first report.)	Tilial accis	ion mast	oc basea	on your
suggestions that you wrote in your instruction,				
It has been corrected. It can be published.				
it has been corrected. It can be published.				paraph
				parapii
There are new points that have to be corrected.				
There are now points that have to be consected.				paraph
				FF.2
It cannot be published.				
r				paraph
				r

Econsciences.com

If you decided to the article "can not be published" or "has new points that have to be corrected", please write your reasons.

After my first report and the points that I suggested for correction, with my second report I
After my first report and the points that I suggested for correction, with my second report I decided to the article CAN NOT BE PUBLISHED/CAN BE PUBLISHED AFTER NEW
CORRECTIONS. Because:

<u>econsciences.com</u>		
	paraf	

econsciences.com