Report of the Editor/Field Editor

Author(s)	
Number of the article	
Title of the article	
Sending date to the journal	
Arrival date to the editor	
Date of sending to referee	
Date of arbitrator's report	
Date of edition	
Date of arbitrator's decision	

For the article that gives information and processes about:	Yes	No
Has it send to editor as corrected the deficiencies in the secretariat process?		
Did editor/editor of the fields write the report of pre assessment?		
Has a number been given to the article?		
Has it been sent to the arbitrator?		
Decision of the arbitrator:		
a) It can be published.		
b) It can be published after it is corrected for the deficiencies.		
c) It cannot be published.		
Has a publishing number been given if the article is (a) and (b)?		
Please send the arbitrator's report and this report to the author(s) if the article is (c).		
Has it been corrected according to the arbitrator's suggestions?		
Did the arbitrator write the report?		
What is the editor's/editor's of the fields decision for the article as a result?		

The article can be published.	The article cannot be published.
1	1
*If you decide that the article cannot be published, please write your reasons.	
*If you decide that the article cannot be publish	ed, please write your reasons.

n you decide that the article cannot be published, please white your leasons.


The article which completed all the processes written above is queued for publishing by the editor's/editor of the fields' final decision based on arbitrator's report.

IT CAN BE PUBLISHED

Editor: Bilal KARGI	Field Editor:
signature	signature

<u>econsciences.com</u> The article which has been given information about CAN NOT BE PUBLISHED. Because:

econsciences.com



econsciences.com