Journal of Economics Library

econsciences.com

Pre-assessment of Editor/Field Editor

Article number					
Title of the article					
,					
Date of receipt by the editor/editor of the field					
Date of report					
<u> </u>					
Below table has been marked by editor/editor of the field.					
5-Very good 4-Good 3-Fair 2-Bad		1-Very	bad		
	5	4	3	2	1
Is the article proper for the publishing realm of JEL?					
Are the documents of secretariat process completed?					
Are the spelling rules properly fulfilled?					
Is the language used academic/scientific?					
Is there any doubt about plagiarism at any level?					
Are the title and contents in a harmony?					
Is the abstract sufficient?					
Does the article make any contribution to the science?					
Does the article make any contribution the related field?					
Are the data set and sources of data reliable?					
Are the evidences clear and sufficient about the hypothesis?					
Are the results sufficient?					
Is the literature review sufficient?					
Is there SSCI and Scopus citation about 30 per cent?					
Is it sufficient for the level of JEL Publishing?					
Can the article be send to the Peer Reviewer?*	Yes		No		
	168		NO		
* If the answer is 'No', please write your reasons.					
Dear author, the article that above given information about, could not be send to the Peer					
Reviewer. Because:					
Reviewel. Decause.					

Journal of Economics Library

econsciences.com Editor/Field Editor signature Thank you for your interest to JEL.

Journal of Economics Library

econsciences.com