Journal of
Economics and Political Economy
econsciences.com
Volume 13 March 2026 Issue 1

Deferred Integrity Pay (DIP): A zero-budget-increase public sector wage reform model for developing countries

By Dao PHU QUOC †

Abstract. Public-sector wage reform in developing countries is constrained by limited fiscal space, persistent corruption incentives, and weak enforcement capacity. Conventional salary increases are fiscally costly, while enforcement-based anti-corruption strategies have shown limited long-term effectiveness. This paper proposes the Deferred Integrity Pay (DIP) model, a fiscally neutral wage reform framework that increases the lifetime value of civil-service compensation without increasing current government expenditure. The model restructures wages into three components: a basic monthly living wage, an integrity-contingent deferred account accumulated over the career, and a forfeiture condition triggered by confirmed corruption offenses. By integrating institutional economics and behavioral insights, particularly loss aversion and long - horizon incentives, the DIP framework realigns individual incentives toward integrity while preserving short-term fiscal discipline. The paper develops the conceptual architecture of DIP, analyzes its incentive effects, macro-fiscal implications, and governance externalities, and outlines a phased implementation strategy suitable for developing economies. DIP is proposed as a scalable institutional mechanism for reducing corruption, strengthening state capacity, and improving public-sector performance under binding fiscal constraints.
Keywords. Public sector reform; Deferred compensation; Anti-corruption; Fiscal neutrality; Institutional economics.
JEL. H11; H83; D73.

1. Introduction

Public-sector wage reform remains one of the most persistent and structurally complex challenges in developing economies. Across Asia, Africa, and Latin America, governments face a recurring policy paradox: civil servants are compensated at levels insufficient to ensure a dignified standard of living, yet fiscal constraints prevent meaningful salary increases. This structural imbalance generates a vicious cycle. Chronic underpayment fosters corruption, weakens administrative capacity, raises transaction costs for businesses, and ultimately suppresses national economic growth. Low wages and corruption therefore become mutually reinforcing forces, creating what may be characterized as an institutional poverty trap (Tanzi & Davoodi, 1997).

† Institute for Environment and Resources, Vietnam National University Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.
| | ROR | CRediT (article last page)
Received 25 Jan. 2026; Received in revised from 28 Feb 2026; Accepted 8 March 2026.

Crossref https://doi.org/10.65810/jepe.2712

Conventional reform strategies have proven inadequate. Direct wage increases require immediate fiscal expansion, a constraint most developing governments cannot absorb (Fund, 2016). Anti-corruption campaigns may generate short-term deterrence but rarely alter underlying incentive structures (Zhang, 2023). Administrative restructuring can streamline procedures but often leaves intact the fundamental motivational drivers shaping civil-service behavior. Without an integrated mechanism capable of simultaneously aligning financial incentives, fiscal sustainability, and long-term governance stability, durable reform remains elusive.

This paper proposes a structurally coherent alternative: the Deferred Integrity Pay (DIP) model. DIP restructures public-sector compensation by formally tripling nominal wages while maintaining current take-home pay at one portion (x1). The remaining two portions (x2) are credited into a government-managed Integrity Fund, transparently recorded and allowed to accumulate over a 20–30 years horizon. Disbursement occurs only upon retirement and conditional upon a clean record free of corruption-related convictions. Through this design, DIP substantially increases the lifetime value of compensation without increasing current fiscal expenditure.

The DIP model addresses three systemic constraints simultaneously. First, it preserves fiscal discipline by generating zero short-term budget expansion, as immediate disbursement remains unchanged. Second, it reshapes behavioral incentives by operationalizing loss aversion, one of the strongest findings in behavioral economics (Wang, 2024). As deferred balances accumulate, the opportunity cost of corruption rises to a level at which illicit behavior becomes economically irrational. Third, it supports long-term growth by reducing bureaucratic rent-seeking, lowering transaction costs, and strengthening private-sector expansion, which in turn broadens the tax base. When deferred earnings are eventually paid out decades later, the expanded economy renders the fiscal burden proportionally manageable.

In this sense, DIP functions not merely as a wage policy but as a governance redesign mechanism. By binding individual incentives to long-term institutional integrity, it aligns civil-service behavior with sustainable state development. Its long-horizon structure resembles intergenerational investments such as forestry, where seeds planted today mature over decades without imposing immediate strain on national resources. The following section formalizes the behavioral and institutional mechanisms that underpin the DIP framework.

2. Theoretical foundations of the dip model

The Deferred Integrity Pay (DIP) model draws upon three interrelated strands of economic analysis: the economics of corruption, behavioral economics with particular emphasis on loss aversion, and macro-fiscal constraints in developing economies. Together, these strands provide an integrated explanation for why corruption persists, why conventional wage reforms repeatedly fail, and how an intertemporal compensation mechanism can realign incentives without expanding current fiscal expenditure.

2.1. Corruption incentives under low-wage equilibrium

Becker's (1968) expected-utility framework provides the foundational logic for analyzing corruption (Becker, 1968). A rational actor engages in illicit

activity when the expected benefit exceeds the expected cost. In many developing countries, the public-sector environment systematically distorts this calculation through three reinforcing conditions. First, corruption-related gains are often immediate, sizable, and difficult to detect. Second, enforcement is weakened by limited oversight capacity, politicized accountability, and institutional fragmentation, reducing the perceived probability of punishment. Third, formal wages frequently fail to provide financial security, lowering the opportunity cost of misconduct and increasing vulnerability to informal income streams.

Empirical research supports this logic. Van Rijckeghem & Weder (2001) document a consistent cross-country association between relatively low civil-service pay and higher corruption levels (Van Rijckeghem & Weder, 2001). Tanzi (1998) further demonstrates that underpaid bureaucracies tend to generate pervasive petty corruption, effectively imposing an informal tax on firms and households that distorts market signals and suppresses aggregate productivity (Tanzi, 1998). These dynamics produce a self-reinforcing equilibrium: low wages incentivize corruption; corruption weakens the private sector; a weak private sector yields limited fiscal revenue; and constrained fiscal capacity prevents meaningful wage reform. The system becomes locked in an institutional poverty trap.

DIP intervenes precisely at this structural choke point by increasing the effective lifetime value of public-sector compensation while avoiding immediate budget expansion.

2.2. Behavioral economics & loss aversion: The power of "fear of losing"

Kahneman & Tversky's (1979) formulation of prospect theory demonstrates that individuals exhibit a pronounced asymmetry in their valuation of gains and losses: the psychological disutility associated with losing a unit of value far exceeds the utility derived from acquiring an equivalent amount (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). This loss-aversion principle, now foundational in behavioral economics, offers a powerful explanation for decision-making under uncertainty and provides an essential lens through which the incentive structure of public officials can be redesigned.

The DIP model operationalizes this behavioral mechanism by creating a deferred compensation pool that accumulates substantial value over a multi-decade horizon, is legally secured within the civil servant's personal retirement account, and is subject to complete forfeiture in the event of corruption or misconduct. This design introduces a highly asymmetric incentive structure. On one side, the potential gain, the full future payout of the accumulated fund is large, tangible, and increasingly significant as the individual advances through their career. On the other side, the potential loss, the irrevocable forfeiture of the entire fund is financially catastrophic and psychologically salient.

By embedding this asymmetry directly into the compensation architecture, the DIP model effectively transforms integrity into the rational and dominant strategy. Crucially, it does not depend on intrusive monitoring, punitive campaigns, or surveillance-based deterrence. Instead, it reshapes the internal cost-benefit calculus of public officials by anchoring behavior to a deeply personal, visible, and irreplaceable financial asset. The prospect of losing a lifetime of accumulated savings operates as a form of anticipatory loss that is

more powerful than any external enforcement mechanism, creating a durable, self-regulating discipline within the civil service: "*If I violate integrity, I lose everything accumulated over my career.*"

Through this behavioral channel, DIP achieves what traditional anti-corruption measures rarely succeed in delivering: a permanent realignment of incentives such that ethical conduct becomes economically dominant, psychologically reinforced, and institutionally stable.

2.3. Fiscal constraints and intertemporal reform design

Despite recognizing the link between low wages and corruption, governments in developing economies often lack the fiscal space required to implement immediate salary increases. Macro-fiscal diagnostics by institutions such as the IMF and the World Bank consistently identify chronic budget deficits, rising debt-service obligations, narrow and volatile tax bases, large informal sectors, and stagnant productivity as binding constraints on recurrent expenditure expansion (Bank, 2021; Fund, 2023). Within this environment, raising civil-service pay generates adverse trade-offs: higher taxes may dampen private-sector activity; reduced investment undermines long-term growth; additional borrowing increases vulnerability; and monetary expansion risks inflationary pressure.

DIP addresses this constraint through intertemporal restructuring. Instead of increasing current wage outlays, the model redirects nominally enhanced compensation into sovereign-backed deferred accounts maturing decades later. Present fiscal discipline is therefore preserved, as current take-home pay remains unchanged. The additional liability materializes in the future, when improved governance, reduced leakages, and strengthened private-sector productivity are expected to have expanded national income and fiscal capacity. By decoupling the timing of expenditure from the enhancement of compensation value, DIP expands effective fiscal space without violating existing macroeconomic boundaries.

2.4. Integrated incentive architecture and institutional capital

Each theoretical strand highlights a different structural friction: distorted corruption incentives under low wages, the power of loss-based behavioral deterrence, and binding fiscal constraints on reform. DIP synthesizes these insights into a unified architecture. By increasing the lifetime value of compensation, it raises the opportunity cost of corruption. By structuring that value as a high-stakes, forfeitable deferred asset, it leverages loss aversion to strengthen internal discipline. By deferring fiscal realization to a future growth horizon, it respects present macro-fiscal constraints.

Beyond economic mechanics, this architecture also addresses the institutional erosion of professional dignity observed in many developing civil services. When public-sector compensation lags behind informal or low-skilled earnings, professional identity and morale weaken. DIP re-establishes a credible long-term asset base that is legally registered, individually owned, inheritable, and sovereign-backed. In cases of disability or premature death, accumulated balances are payable to the individual or their family, reinforcing the fund's status as a genuine store of intergenerational value.

Through this combined behavioral, fiscal, and institutional design, DIP functions not merely as a wage adjustment but as a systemic realignment mechanism. It transforms dispersed theoretical principles into a coherent

framework aimed at strengthening integrity, restoring professional confidence, and enhancing long-term state capacity.

3. Architecture of the deferred integrity pay model

The Deferred Integrity Pay (DIP) model represents a structural redesign of public-sector compensation that simultaneously addresses fiscal rigidity, incentive distortions, and the long-term erosion of professional dignity. Unlike conventional wage reforms that require immediate expansion of the public wage bill, DIP increases the effective value of compensation while preserving current fiscal outlays. This section outlines the institutional architecture and policy logic embedded in the model.

3.1. Tripartite compensation structure

At the core of DIP lies a tripartite salary architecture that triples nominal compensation while maintaining short-run fiscal neutrality. Total remuneration is decomposed into three functionally distinct components designed to address livelihood security, behavioral alignment, and institutional discipline.

The first component, the Immediate Living Wage (x1), corresponds to the official's current salary and is disbursed without alteration to the existing fiscal baseline. Its function is to stabilize short-term welfare and reduce financial stress that might otherwise incentivize informal income-seeking.

The second component, the Deferred Integrity Fund (x2), equals twice the current salary and is credited monthly into a sovereign-backed individual account. This account is legally recognized as the civil servant's personal financial property, accrues compound returns, and remains fully inheritable under national regulations. Transparency is integral to its design: real-time tracking ensures that deferred compensation is perceived as a tangible and growing asset rather than a symbolic promise. Through this mechanism, wage enhancement is converted into long-term wealth accumulation without expanding present-day expenditure.

The third component establishes the Integrity Condition. The entire deferred fund is forfeited, fully and irreversibly, if the official is judicially convicted of corruption or comparable misconduct. Because forfeiture applies regardless of tenure and encompasses decades of accumulated savings, it generates a high-magnitude loss-aversion effect. Ethical conduct thus becomes the dominant long-term strategy in the official's economic calculus.

3.2. Zero-fiscal-impact and intertemporal financing

A central innovation of the DIP framework is its zero-shock fiscal mechanism, which enables a substantial increase in the value of public-sector compensation without generating immediate budgetary pressure. The mechanism operates through a combination of cash-flow neutrality, domestic liquidity preservation, and long-horizon financing anchored in future economic growth.

First, cash-flow neutrality is maintained because only the immediate wage component (x1) is disbursed monthly, exactly matching the government's current payroll obligations. The deferred portion (x2) is not treated as contemporaneous expenditure but as a long-term liability recorded in sovereign-backed individual accounts. This accounting structure prevents any

short-run expansion of the fiscal deficit and preserves compliance with medium-term expenditure frameworks and debt-stability rules.

Second, DIP ensures liquidity preservation within the national financial system. Since deferred funds remain on deposit in domestic banks, they continue to circulate through the economy by supporting credit creation, private-sector investment, infrastructure financing, and overall monetary liquidity. Unlike cash-transfer reforms that withdraw resources from the financial system, DIP expands the asset base of commercial and state-owned banks, thereby strengthening the macrofinancial environment.

Third, the mechanism is ultimately financed through the growth that improved governance itself generates. Reductions in corruption lower transaction costs, accelerate administrative throughput, and ease regulatory bottlenecks, all of which raise productivity and stimulate investment. Under sustained institutional upgrading, as observed in long-run administrative modernization cases such as Singapore beginning in the late 1970s, cumulative GDP expansion over a 25–30-year horizon can plausibly reach a multiple of three to five through compounding growth dynamics. In such a context, the fiscal burden of future DIP payouts becomes negligible relative to the enlarged revenue base. The deferred component is effectively paid from the surplus created by a cleaner, more efficient bureaucracy.

In aggregate, the DIP mechanism makes wage reform fiscally feasible by converting immediate expenditure obligations into long-term, growth-backed commitments. It is a compensation architecture that pays for itself through the economic gains it unlocks.

3.3. Enforcement architecture and institutional capital

DIP transforms integrity into a high-stakes economic asset. As the deferred account accumulates, it becomes one of the most significant financial holdings of a civil servant's lifetime, creating a deeply internalized deterrent against misconduct. The enforcement rule is simple and binary: confirmed corruption leads to total forfeiture. The severity and clarity of this rule eliminate discretionary dilution and reinforce credibility.

Legal provisions for transfer of the deferred account to family members in cases of disability or premature death amplify the social dimension of compliance. By granting intergenerational value to accumulated assets, the system aligns family interests with professional integrity.

Sector-prioritized implementation, beginning with high-impact domains such as taxation, customs, land administration, public finance management, and anti-corruption units, can generate visible early improvements in efficiency and transparency. These gains strengthen political legitimacy and facilitate gradual scaling.

Beyond economic deterrence, the DIP architecture restores professional dignity. In many developing contexts, highly trained public servants receive compensation comparable to low-skilled labor, weakening morale and status recognition. By legally establishing a substantial, transparent, and inheritable deferred asset, DIP reaffirms the long-term economic value of public service. This restoration of dignity enhances motivation, institutional loyalty, and the attractiveness of civil-service careers for high-ability entrants.

Through this integrated compensation, fiscal, and enforcement design, DIP converts static wage policy into a dynamic institutional mechanism capable of reshaping incentives and strengthening long-term state capacity.

4. Macro-impact analysis

4.1. Corruption deterrence and governance efficiency

Corruption functions as a hidden and distortionary tax on national productivity. Empirical evidence demonstrates that bribery and informal payments impose measurable efficiency losses on firms and public programs. Leakage reduces service delivery and generates deadweight losses (Olken, 2007). A one-percentage-point increase in bribery rates is associated with an approximately three percent decline in firm growth (Fisman & Svensson, 2007). Discretionary administrative authority amplifies rent extraction and weakens regulatory enforcement (Reinikka & Svensson, 2004). Collectively, this literature establishes a structural link between corruption and depressed private-sector performance. The DIP model fundamentally alters this incentive structure. By accumulating a large deferred integrity asset over two to three decades, and by attaching full forfeiture to corruption convictions, the model transforms illicit behavior from a low-risk, high-return activity into a high-risk, economically irrational decision. Short-term gains are outweighed by the potential loss of a legally protected lifetime asset.

This micro-level recalibration produces macro-level governance improvements. Administrative predictability increases as discretionary behavior declines, reducing uncertainty and transaction costs for firms. Informal payments diminish because even minor illicit income threatens the entire deferred account. Bureaucratic processes become more consistent and less extractive, strengthening enforcement quality and procedural reliability. Comparable institutional shifts were observed in integrity-focused reforms in Singapore and Estonia, where civil-service restructuring and administrative modernization contributed to sustained multi-decade expansion (Miguel Goede, 2019; Quah, 2010). DIP operates through similar internal incentive realignment rather than external surveillance escalation.

In summary, governance improvement under DIP stems from incentive redesign. Cleaner administrative behavior lowers private-sector friction and establishes the stability necessary for long-run economic growth.

4.2. Private-sector productivity expansion

Corruption creates systematic friction across the production and investment cycle. Cross-country evidence shows that it reduces GDP growth by one to three percentage points annually in many developing economies. The mechanism operates through increased transaction costs, regulatory delays, strategic uncertainty, and diversion of managerial attention toward informal negotiation rather than productive investment. By removing these distortions at their administrative source, DIP enhances private-sector productivity through several reinforcing channels. Faster and more predictable administrative procedures reduce permit delays and customs bottlenecks, improving capital utilization and shortening time-to-market. Lower institutional risk strengthens both domestic reinvestment and foreign direct investment, particularly for long-horizon projects sensitive to policy uncertainty. Reduced compliance burden allows firms to reallocate resources toward technology adoption, human capital development, and capital deepening, raising national labor productivity. Over a 20–30 years horizon, eliminating corruption-induced friction can generate substantial productivity multipliers. In this sense, DIP functions not solely as an integrity mechanism

but as a structural productivity reform embedded within public administration.

4.3. Long-horizon fiscal sustainability

A central macroeconomic strength of DIP lies in its intertemporal funding architecture. Although nominal compensation is redefined at the moment of reform, no additional immediate cash outlay is required, as deferred payouts occur two to three decades later within a substantially larger economy. The sustainability of this structure rests on two reinforcing dynamics. First, governance-driven improvements enhance long-run growth; even modest increases in annual growth rates compound significantly over thirty years, potentially generating multi-fold expansion in national output. Second, fiscal revenue scales with GDP, so as private-sector productivity rises and compliance improves, the tax base expands proportionally. Under these conditions, the relative burden of future deferred disbursements declines in comparison to their original economic context. Compensation commitments are therefore honored not through present deficit expansion, but within an enlarged and more productive economy. Structurally, DIP becomes self-reinforcing: governance gains raise productivity, productivity expands fiscal capacity, and expanded fiscal capacity finances the deferred obligations embedded in the system itself.

4.4. Social stability and institutional cohesion

Macroeconomic performance is closely intertwined with sociopolitical stability, and DIP reinforces this linkage through intertemporal institutional trust and long-term household security. By establishing a deferred integrity account, the model creates a multi-decade contract between the state and civil servants: the government guarantees future payouts, while officials accumulate a substantial long-term stake in institutional continuity and personal reputation. This reciprocal structure discourages opportunistic behavior and strengthens durable alignment with public institutions. At the household level, a sovereign-backed long-horizon asset alleviates financial stress and status anxiety associated with comparatively modest visible income, supporting stronger job performance and lower attrition. As administrative conduct becomes more predictable and transparent, citizen trust is reinforced, reducing grievance accumulation and compliance resistance. By restoring long-term financial recognition and professional dignity to public service, DIP helps retain talent and stabilize institutional human capital. Although these effects are partly intangible, they form a critical foundation for sustained governance quality and macroeconomic resilience.

4.5. Cognitive clarity and policy quality

An often overlooked macroeconomic impact of corruption lies in its cognitive burden. Officials operating under illicit income risk or fear of exposure experience elevated mental stress and decision distortion. Behavioral research indicates that sustained ethical conflict and uncertainty reduce analytical precision, encourage short-term horizons, and increase susceptibility to risk-avoidant or opportunistic decision-making. By decoupling compensation from corruption incentives and embedding financial certainty in a transparent long-term asset, DIP reduces this cognitive

load. Officials no longer operate under continuous fear of detection or loss of unofficial protection. This cognitive liberation enhances analytical clarity, improves long-term policy planning, and strengthens evidence-based decision-making. The result is a civil service capable of more coherent strategic thought, more consistent enforcement, and more rational long-term economic planning. Over time, these cognitive improvements compound, producing a more disciplined and development-oriented administrative state.

5. Implementation strategy and technical considerations

Rather than executing an across-the-board wage reform, the DIP model should be introduced through a controlled, sector-by-sector deployment. This staged approach mitigates administrative risk, generates early proof-of-concept outcomes, and builds the political legitimacy required for system-wide transformation.

5.1 Phased implementation strategy

Phase 1: High-impact and high-risk economic nodes

The first phase should prioritize sectors where corruption imposes the greatest friction and where integrity gains generate the strongest multipliers for national competitiveness. Key targets include fiscal and revenue administration (tax administration and customs), infrastructure and resource management (land administration, construction permitting, and public procurement), and integrity and enforcement agencies (treasury, economic police, and anti-corruption authorities). Focusing on these nodes produces visible and quantifiable reductions in corruption-related transaction costs, generating early integrity dividends recognized by firms and citizens. These early results are essential for building broad-based support and reducing resistance to subsequent scaling.

Phase 2: Administrative and regulatory ecosystems

Once Phase 1 demonstrates operational feasibility and measurable impact, DIP should expand into administrative and regulatory sectors that shape service delivery and compliance environments. Priority domains include human-capital administration (education and health administration), as well as social and licensing authorities (social services, regulatory agencies, and licensing bodies). Expansion in this phase leverages legitimacy established earlier and produces compounding gains in predictability, trust, and state effectiveness.

Phase 3: Universal and sustainable coverage

Nationwide adoption should be conditional on predefined and empirically validated performance thresholds achieved in Phases 1 and 2. Scaling criteria may include measurable improvements in governance quality (reduced corruption reporting rates and faster administrative processing times), business environment indicators (improved satisfaction surveys and regulatory efficiency indices), and fiscal outcomes (increased legitimate tax revenue collection consistent with reduced leakage and improved compliance). A phased, metrics-based approach ensures that DIP remains technically credible, politically resilient, and fiscally sustainable over the long term.

5.2 Technical and institutional infrastructure

Bank-based integrity accounts

The operational foundation of DIP rests on dedicated Integrity Accounts held within regulated commercial banks and institutionally segregated from annual fiscal expenditures. This design preserves domestic liquidity, strengthens transparency, and establishes clear legal ownership of deferred funds. Because deferred balances remain within the domestic banking system, they expand long-term capital available for credit intermediation, SME financing, and infrastructure investment, thereby supporting macrofinancial stability rather than draining resources through immediate cash outlays.

Transparency and auditability must be embedded by design. Monthly deposits, interest accruals, and any forfeiture event should be recorded in a secure digital ledger with real-time traceability and oversight capacity. This minimizes opportunities for manipulation, reinforces public credibility, and stabilizes administrative trust.

Legal framework for the integrity condition

A credible legal foundation is indispensable. The complete forfeiture rule should be explicitly codified: the full accumulated DIP balance is confiscated only upon a definitive corruption conviction. The binary structure is central to maintaining deterrence strength; partial penalties would dilute loss aversion and reintroduce moral hazard. To preserve legitimacy and procedural justice, forfeiture must be triggered only by final judicial rulings, after due process and clear evidentiary standards. A political-neutrality safeguard should prohibit the use of DIP forfeiture as retaliation or factional leverage, restricting triggers strictly to corruption offenses defined under national law.

A family-protection clause remains essential to preserve DIP as a vested long-horizon asset rather than a punitive instrument. In cases of certified disability, terminal illness, or premature death, the account balance should be transferred to designated beneficiaries under predefined legal conditions. This sustains intergenerational security and strengthens the social stake in integrity.

Digital infrastructure for administration

Large-scale implementation requires a secure and interoperable digital backbone. A National Civil Service Ledger can function as a unified registry recording contribution histories, integrity status, account balances, forfeiture determinations, and projected payouts. Automated API integration between payroll systems and bank-held Integrity Accounts reduces manual intervention, minimizes administrative friction, and limits discretionary manipulation.

Advanced analytics, including AI/ML tools where appropriate, may strengthen preventative capacity by flagging anomalies in asset declarations, procurement patterns, or financial irregularities. However, governance safeguards are critical. The system must be protected by strong cybersecurity and privacy protocols, including encryption, multi-factor authentication, role-based access, immutable audit trails, and explicit legal protections against political misuse. Trust depends on ensuring the platform is not weaponized as a surveillance tool.

Monitoring and evaluation

DIP requires a rigorous monitoring and evaluation framework to validate performance, guide iterative refinement, and provide credible accountability. Indicators should cover four dimensions: governance quality, economic performance, fiscal outcomes, and socio-organizational dynamics. Governance metrics can include processing-time reductions, complaint statistics, bribery incidence proxies, and variance in decision outcomes across offices. Economic metrics can include business environment benchmarks, informal transaction cost measures, and changes in domestic and foreign investment. Fiscal metrics can track legitimate revenue growth adjusted for baseline economic expansion, formalization rates, and changes in enforcement and audit costs. Socio-organizational metrics can include civil servant satisfaction, morale, turnover rates in high-risk sectors, and citizen trust measures.

Public communication and social psychology

Because DIP changes incentives more than immediate cash flows, durable legitimacy depends on clear public framing. Communication should emphasize three pillars. First, DIP is not an immediate disposable-income increase; take-home pay remains unchanged at implementation, while long-horizon wealth accumulates in a legally protected asset. Second, DIP is fiscally neutral in the present; it operates as a contingent long-term obligation aligned with future capacity and governance-driven growth rather than a current-year spending surge. Third, DIP restores professional dignity by linking long-term economic security to integrity, making clean public service both recognized and materially rewarded. This framing reduces misinformation risk, pre-empts fiscal backlash narratives, and strengthens collective support for integrity-centered modernization.

6. Risk analysis and mitigation strategies

Structural reform of public-sector compensation at the scale proposed by the Deferred Integrity Pay (DIP) model inevitably entails multidimensional risk. Although DIP is architecturally designed to be fiscally neutral and behaviorally robust, its long-term viability depends on political legitimacy, institutional neutrality, financial stability, administrative capacity, and psychological credibility. Risk mitigation must therefore be embedded not as an afterthought but as an integral component of reform design.

6.1. Political and institutional risks

The first cluster of risks arises from political interpretation and institutional resistance. A central misunderstanding during early implementation is the assumption that higher nominal compensation necessarily implies higher current government expenditure. This “higher fiscal burden” fallacy reflects confusion between reported remuneration and actual cash disbursement. If left uncorrected, it may provoke taxpayer backlash, inflationary concerns in financial markets, and opposition from fiscal authorities or international institutions wary of deficit expansion. Mitigation requires disciplined transparency. Governments must publish multi-decade fiscal simulations that clearly demonstrate zero current-year expenditure impact, explicitly separating the cash-paid wage component from the deferred contingent

liability. Consistent public framing of DIP as a long-horizon accumulation mechanism, rather than a wage increase, is essential for preserving political trust.

A second political-economy risk concerns resistance from officials currently embedded in rent-seeking equilibria. Because DIP structurally diminishes the profitability of corruption by raising the long-term cost of forfeiture, actors benefiting from illicit income streams may attempt administrative obstruction, strategic delay, or internal disinformation. Organizational sabotage can manifest through manipulation of reporting systems or resistance to digital modernization. Mitigation depends on phased implementation and generational realignment rather than confrontation. Prioritizing new recruits and younger cohorts aligns future expectations with the new incentive system. Early-sector deployment in high-impact nodes—such as tax administration or customs—can produce measurable integrity dividends that weaken opposition narratives. Transitional pathways for entrenched officials, including dignified early retirement options, may further reduce resistance while facilitating bureaucratic renewal.

Legal misuse represents a deeper institutional vulnerability. The complete forfeiture rule, central to DIP's deterrent power, could be weaponized in politically fragile contexts if statutory boundaries are insufficiently defined. If forfeiture decisions are perceived as arbitrary or politically motivated, the reform's normative legitimacy collapses and deterrence deteriorates. Safeguards therefore must include strict limitation of forfeiture triggers to clearly codified corruption offenses, mandatory final judicial conviction prior to activation, full due-process guarantees, and independent oversight mechanisms insulated from executive discretion. The credibility of the reform depends less on sanction severity than on consistent, apolitical application.

Public perception risk also requires careful management. Private-sector and informal workers may interpret higher nominal public-sector compensation as distributive favoritism, overlooking the deferred and conditional character of the additional component. If politicized, this perception can erode legitimacy. Communication strategy must therefore emphasize that DIP generates economy-wide dividends: lower transaction costs, fewer informal payments, faster regulatory processes, and improved public services. Publishing measurable governance dividends—such as processing-time reductions and tax compliance gains—reframes the reform as a national efficiency investment rather than occupational privilege.

Finally, expectation inflation constitutes a political hazard. Integrity reform produces cumulative results rather than instant transformation. Unrealistic anticipation of immediate system-wide change may give way to premature disappointment, weakening reform durability. Managing this risk requires explicit temporal framing: short-term milestones should highlight early sectoral improvements; medium-term reporting should track governance and investment outcomes; long-term messaging should clarify the intergenerational logic underpinning deferred financing. Clear expectation management stabilizes political support throughout the reform horizon.

6.2. Financial and administrative stability risks

Because DIP relies on domestic financial institutions to safeguard deferred Integrity Accounts, it is inherently exposed to systemic financial risk. Banking crises, sovereign debt instability, or prolonged macroeconomic downturns

could undermine confidence in the durability of deferred assets, weakening the behavioral salience upon which the reform depends. Even where nominal balances remain intact, perceived valuation insecurity can erode trust. Mitigation therefore requires conservative financial architecture: explicit sovereign guarantees for all Integrity Accounts, limitation of investments to ultra-low-risk government securities, full inclusion within national deposit insurance schemes, and avoidance of yield-seeking strategies that expose accounts to volatility. Capital preservation must take precedence over return maximization.

Administrative capacity presents parallel structural challenges. The management of millions of long-horizon accounts requires precise synchronization between payroll systems, banking platforms, legal-status databases, and oversight mechanisms. Fragmented legacy infrastructure increases the risk of data inconsistencies, accrual errors, and eligibility disputes. Such operational failures could generate litigation and rapidly erode public confidence. Mitigation requires digital modernization as a foundational prerequisite. A unified national civil service ledger must serve as the authoritative data repository, fully integrated via secure APIs with banking and payroll systems. Automated synchronization minimizes manual intervention and reduces error probability. Periodic independent third-party audits reinforce credibility, while anomaly-detection systems help identify discrepancies before they escalate. In this context, digital integrity infrastructure is not merely an efficiency enhancement but an essential condition for scalable governance reform.

6.3. Behavioral and long-horizon credibility risks

The final cluster of risks stems from psychological and intertemporal dynamics. Behavioral economics documents strong tendencies toward hyperbolic discounting, whereby individuals undervalue distant rewards relative to immediate gains. In countries with histories of inflation volatility, currency depreciation, or institutional discontinuity, deferred assets may be psychologically reframed as uncertain promises rather than tangible wealth. If civil servants discount future benefits sharply, the deterrent effect of forfeiture weakens.

Mitigation requires increasing the immediacy and credibility of deferred accumulation. Real-time digital visibility of personal Integrity Accounts transforms abstract future compensation into a continuously observable asset. Integration with mainstream banking interfaces enhances psychological realism. Clear explanation of sovereign backing, conservative asset management, and guaranteed legal ownership reinforces durability. Limited, tightly regulated early-access provisions for exceptional hardship can further strengthen perceived ownership without undermining integrity conditionality.

Intergenerational trust also matters. Early cohorts may question whether long-term commitments will be honored across political cycles. Transparent legal codification, constitutional safeguards, and routine public reporting of aggregate fund balances build confidence over time. The objective is to anchor expectations so that the deferred asset is perceived not as a contingent political promise but as a stable institutional commitment.

In synthesis, the long-term viability of DIP depends on synchronized management of political legitimacy, financial stability, administrative

precision, and behavioral credibility. When these dimensions are proactively addressed, systemic risks remain bounded and decline as governance gains accumulate.

7. Illustrative models and hypothetical scenarios

This section presents quantitative simulations and behavioral scenarios designed to illustrate the structural logic and operational feasibility of the Deferred Integrity Pay (DIP) model. Although fiscal parameters vary across countries, the core economic principle is invariant: DIP increases lifetime, integrity-contingent compensation without expanding present-day public expenditure, and future obligations are financed by the broader economic base generated through governance improvement.

The following examples progress from individual-level accumulation to national macroeconomic transformation and finally to institutional behavioral change, forming a coherent analytic trajectory.

Example 1. Base Salary Simulation for a Civil Servant

Table 1. Base salary simulation

Parameter	Value	Description
Current Base Salary (x_1)	$400/month	Immediate take-home pay (unchanged).
Nominal DIP Salary (x_3)	$1,200/month	Integrity-contingent notional salary.
Deferred Portion (x_2)	$800/month	Monthly deposit into the Integrity Account.
Safe DIP Interest Rate (r)	5% per year	Conservative annual return, consistent with Section 6.3.
Long-term Economic Growth	5–6% per year	Sustained growth enabling future payout sustainability.

All monetary values are expressed in abstract monetary units ($) for illustrative purposes. The deferred portion grows according to the standard compound interest formula:

$$FV = P(1 + r)^n$$

Where P is the annual contribution and n is the number of compounding periods.

Table 2. Accumulation trajectory under DIP assumptions

Time Horizon	Annual Contribution	Approximate Accumulated Value	Notes
After 1 year	$9,600	≈ $10,100	Initial interest accumulation.
After 10 years	$96,000	≈ $125,000 – $138,000	Compound growth accelerates significantly.
After 20 years	$192,000	≈ $310,000 – $340,000	Compounding becomes the dominant driver.
After 30 years	$288,000	≈ $540,000 – $620,000	Long-horizon asset value peaks.

This simulation illustrates the fundamental microeconomic mechanism of DIP. Through compound accumulation alone, without altering current take-home pay a civil servant maintaining integrity over a 30-year career may accumulate a protected deferred asset of approximately $540,000–$620,000. The structural implication is crucial: DIP transforms long-term integrity into a form of legally secured capital formation, thereby shifting the rational cost–benefit analysis decisively away from short-term illicit gain.

Example 2. National-Level Impact: Reduction of the "Corruption Tax"

This scenario evaluates the macroeconomic impact of the Deferred Integrity Pay (DIP) model by quantifying the elimination of corruption-related transaction costs commonly referred to as the "corruption tax." A broad body of empirical research indicates that corruption constitutes a persistent, economy-wide deadweight loss, which in many developing economies represents between 5% and 15% of total business operating costs. By removing this structural inefficiency, the DIP model transforms illicit leakage into productive capital, thereby elevating national competitiveness.

Table 3. Assumptions for the Macro Simulation

Parameter	Value	Description
National GDP (G)	300 billion USD	Representative current gross domestic product.
GDP Portion Affected by Administration (A)	40%	Share of the economy exposed to bureaucratic interaction (licensing, customs, inspections, permits).
Average Corruption Tax (T)	8%	Estimated informal cost burden in the affected sectors.
DIP Effectiveness (Reduction Factor, E)	80%	Projected decline in corruption due to DIP implementation.

National Economic Gains Calculation

The annual capital released from corruption-induced inefficiency can be approximated by:

$$\text{Savings} = G \times A \times T \times E$$

$$\text{Savings} = 300 \text{ billion USD} \times 0.40 \times 0.08 \times 0.80 = 7.68 \text{ billion USD per year}$$

This figure represents the amount of economic value previously captured by illicit networks that is now redirected into legitimate, productive channels. Once corruption ceases to function as a parasitic drain, these funds are reallocated toward activities with high economic multipliers, including:

- Productive investment, enabling business expansion and capital deepening;
- Reinvestment of documented profits, increasing firm resilience and competitiveness;
- Fiscal strengthening, as higher taxable income boosts government revenue;
- Innovation and technological upgrading, supported by greater R&D expenditure;
- Employment creation, arising from sustained business growth.

Macro Impact and Long-Horizon Funding Sustainability

Redirecting USD 7.68 billion per year from unproductive leakage into formal economic activity constitutes a structural improvement in total factor productivity. Long-run growth models indicate that such a reduction in systemic friction can elevate national GDP growth by 1% to 1.5 percentage points annually. Over a 20–30 years horizon, this growth differential is sufficient to expand national GDP by a factor of 3× to 5×, fully consistent with the macro-projections outlined in Section 7.1. Importantly, it is this larger,

more efficient economic base—not present-day fiscal expansion—that ultimately finances DIP payouts.

The macro-simulation demonstrates that eliminating corruption-related leakage functions as a large-scale productivity shock. Redirecting approximately USD 7.68 billion annually from informal extraction into formal economic activity strengthens investment capacity, fiscal revenue, and long-term growth. DIP therefore operates simultaneously as an anti-corruption mechanism and a structural economic reform instrument.

Example 3. Fiscal Sustainability After 20–30 Years (Future Ability of the Government to Finance DIP Obligations)

This example examines the long-term fiscal sustainability of the Deferred Integrity Pay (DIP) model by comparing projected future payout obligations with anticipated economic expansion over a 25-year horizon. Although the aggregate nominal liability may initially appear large when viewed against the current fiscal baseline, its relative burden declines substantially once long-run GDP growth—partly enabled by DIP-driven governance improvements—is incorporated into the analysis.

For illustrative purposes, assume a civil service workforce of approximately 1 million employees, each accumulating an average deferred DIP entitlement of $400,000 after 25 years of uninterrupted integrity. The total projected nominal liability would therefore equal 1,000,000 × $400,000, or $400 billion. Evaluated in isolation, this number appears significant. However, fiscal sustainability must be assessed relative to the future scale of the economy rather than its present size.

Assume that the current national GDP equals approximately $4 trillion. Under the long-term growth trajectory outlined in Sections 7.1 and 7.2—driven by reduced corruption, lower transaction costs, improved institutional efficiency, and higher total factor productivity—the economy expands by a factor of four to five over a 25-year period. Future GDP would therefore reach approximately $16–20 trillion. Within this expanded economic base, the cumulative DIP liability represents roughly 10% of current GDP, but only 2–2.5% of projected GDP in year 25. The decline in relative burden reflects the central design principle of the DIP system: integrity-contingent obligations are financed from a substantially larger and more productive future economy rather than from the present fiscal base.

A similar scaling effect applies to government revenues. If current public revenue amounts to approximately 20–25% of GDP, equivalent to $800 billion–$1 trillion annually, proportional economic expansion would raise future annual government revenue to approximately $3.2–5 trillion. Because DIP payouts occur gradually across retirement cohorts rather than as a single lump-sum disbursement, the effective annual fiscal burden becomes modest. Under reasonable distribution assumptions, annual DIP payments would account for approximately 0.4–0.8% of future annual government revenue. This ratio remains comfortably below widely recognized thresholds associated with long-term fiscal stress and is materially smaller than the fiscal pressures generated by many existing public pension or social insurance systems.

In sum, the DIP framework is structurally self-sustaining from a fiscal perspective. Its long-term obligations are supported by an expanded economic base resulting from sustained improvements in governance quality, productivity growth, and revenue mobilization. Rather than requiring higher

current taxation or persistent deficit financing, DIP aligns long-horizon integrity incentives with the future prosperity it helps to generate.

Example 4. A Low-Income but Honest Public Servant (A Human-Centered Behavioral Scenario)

Consider a 25-year-old public school teacher earning a modest entry-level salary of approximately $280 per month, a level broadly representative of junior public-sector positions in many developing economies. Under the DIP framework, her integrity-contingent notional salary is redefined at $840 per month, of which $560 is automatically allocated to her protected Integrity Account while her immediate take-home income remains unchanged.

Assuming uninterrupted public service and consistent ethical conduct, the deferred contributions compound steadily over a 30-year career. At the conservative return rate outlined in Section 6.4, the accumulated balance reaches an estimated $400,000–$500,000 by retirement. This outcome fundamentally alters the long-term wealth trajectory of a civil servant whose conventional wage structure would otherwise offer limited opportunity for capital accumulation.

The significance of this mechanism extends beyond the numerical balance. The existence of a legally vested, transparently recorded, and sovereign-backed long-term asset produces substantive behavioral and social effects. Financial security reduces pressure to seek supplementary illicit income and strengthens occupational confidence, enabling the teacher to pursue her professional duties without integrity compromise. The visible growth of the account enhances psychological reassurance, reinforcing the salience of long-horizon planning and ethical continuity. Future financial stability elevates the perceived dignity and social status of public service, reshaping its normative identity from low-paid necessity to respected profession.

At the household level, the deferred asset contributes to intergenerational resilience. The accumulated balance functions as a buffer against economic shocks and provides a foundation for retirement security, thereby reducing vulnerability linked to income volatility. At the civic level, the credibility of a fairness-based, integrity-conditioned compensation structure strengthens institutional loyalty and reinforces alignment between personal economic interest and public ethical standards.

Taken together, this scenario demonstrates that the DIP model does more than restructure compensation formulas. It transforms the economic and psychological position of low-income civil servants by linking financial stability directly to ethical conduct, thereby redefining integrity not as sacrifice, but as a rational and rewarding long-term strategy.

Example 5. A Corrupt Official in the DIP System

Consider a land administration officer who informally earns approximately $8,000–$12,000 per year through routine, low-level corruption. Over a ten-year period, this yields roughly $80,000–$120,000 in illicit income—an amount that, under weak institutional conditions, may appear financially attractive.

Under the DIP framework, however, this economic calculus is fundamentally altered. After ten years of uninterrupted integrity, the same officer would have accumulated approximately $320,000–$400,000 in his protected Integrity Account.

If the officer is found guilty of corruption, the complete forfeiture rule is triggered, resulting in the total loss of all accumulated DIP assets.

Table 4. Economic Comparison

Component	Amount
Illicit gains over 10 years	≈ $80,000–$120,000
DIP forfeiture if caught	≈ $320,000–$400,000

This asymmetry between illicit short-term gains and the potential loss of long-term legitimate wealth creates a powerful deterrence effect. Rational economic behavior shifts decisively toward integrity, as even modest corruption becomes economically irrational relative to the magnitude of forfeitable assets.

Rational Outcome: The expected financial outcome of engaging in corruption becomes decisively negative. Even without considering legal penalties, social stigma, or career termination, the pure economic comparison shows that corruption becomes an irrational and self-destructive financial decision.

How DIP Reconfigures Incentives

(a), Clean = smart: Maintaining integrity preserves a long-term asset far larger than any illicit short-term gain.

(b), Corrupt = financially ruinous: Misconduct triggers an immediate, irreversible loss several times larger than the total potential benefits of corruption.

(d), Elegance of deterrence: DIP achieves a level of behavioral deterrence through financial incentives that traditional anti-corruption laws, with their reliance on detection, investigation, and prosecution, rarely accomplish.

Conclusion: By reshaping the incentive structure at the individual level, the DIP system makes corruption economically senseless. No existing punitive framework produces such a powerful, self-enforcing *deterrent with comparable simplicity and elegance.*

Example 6. Institutional Simplification and Policy Quality Under Incentive Realignment

The Deferred Integrity Pay (DIP) framework generates a governance transformation not merely by punishing misconduct, but by fundamentally altering two foundational dimensions: the internal cognitive environment of public officials and the economic logic that shapes institutional structure.

In systems where informal income exists, administrative complexity itself becomes a privileged instrument for those who implement the rules. Ambiguous regulations, overlapping procedures, and discretionary interpretation are not accidental deficiencies; they are often maintained deliberately to create negotiation space for private extraction. The result is a cumbersome and unpredictable administrative apparatus that compels the private sector to expend substantial resources navigating procedures rather than investing in production and innovation. At the individual level, public officials operate within a defensive psychological environment. A significant portion of their cognitive capacity is allocated to concealing misconduct, managing detection risk, and prioritizing short-term survival over strategic analysis and public-oriented decision-making.

DIP disrupts this cycle through a dual-impact mechanism.

First, by tightly linking long-term financial benefit to integrity, DIP eliminates the economic value of complexity. When ambiguity no longer generates profit but only increases the risk of forfeiture, internal incentives shift. Simplicity, transparency, and consistency become the optimal strategies for protecting future assets. Administrative bottlenecks are removed, procedures are standardized, and digitalization is supported not as a moral imperative but as an economically rational choice aligned with personal long-term interest.

Second, as a necessary consequence, the removal of illicit behavior releases the cognitive and psychological burden across the system. When officials are no longer required to allocate mental resources to fear and defensive concealment, cognitive capacity is freed. This recovered cognitive bandwidth, conservatively estimated at a 20–30 percent functional increase in strategic capacity, can be redirected toward core responsibilities: long-term strategic planning, evidence-based policy analysis, and the pursuit of institutional objectives. The work environment becomes psychologically safer, encouraging cooperation and innovation rather than suspicion and risk avoidance.

Institutional Logic and Conclusion

The structural sequence can be summarized as follows:

Clean administration → Realigned economic incentives → Leaner institutions and clearer thinking → Improved governance → Stronger national competitiveness

At its core, DIP enhances national governance capacity through comprehensive structural transformation. It not only eliminates external misconduct but also reconfigures both the "hardware" of institutional design and the "software" of cognitive environment within the administrative system. This dual shift, from complexity to clarity at the systemic level, and from fear-driven calculation to strategic orientation at the individual level, represents the most profound and enduring benefit of building a clean and credible public administration.

8. Conclusion and scholarly contributions

This paper has presented the Deferred Integrity Pay (DIP) model as a structural reform framework for public-sector compensation in developing economies facing persistent fiscal constraints, entrenched corruption, and administrative underperformance. Rather than adjusting nominal salary scales or intensifying punitive enforcement alone, DIP redesigns the incentive architecture that governs public employment.

At its core, DIP addresses a longstanding paradox in public-sector economics: how to significantly raise lifetime civil-service compensation without increasing present-day fiscal expenditure. By increasing nominal salary entitlements while deferring a substantial share into an integrity-contingent, long-horizon account, the model neutralizes the immediate fiscal barrier that has historically constrained wage reform. Because the deferred component is legally protected, transparently recorded, and conditioned on clean service records, it transforms integrity from a moral expectation into a dominant economic strategy.

Macroeconomic implications: The effects of DIP extend beyond individual deterrence. By reducing discretionary opacity and informal extraction incentives, the model encourages regulatory clarification and institutional simplification. Lower administrative friction improves private-sector productivity, capital allocation efficiency, and investment confidence. Over a multi-decade horizon, these cumulative gains expand the tax base and raise aggregate income, thereby strengthening the state's capacity to meet deferred compensation obligations. In this structural sense, DIP is designed to be fiscally self-sustaining, as long-run growth partially finances the system's future liabilities.

Socio-institutional implications: Beyond macroeconomic outcomes, DIP contributes to the restoration of professional stability within the civil service. By recognizing long-term service through transparent and inheritable integrity accounts, the system enhances perceived occupational dignity and reduces incentives for opportunistic behavior. This alignment of professional security with lawful conduct supports retention, strengthens organizational morale, and contributes to institutional predictability—an essential but often underestimated determinant of state capacity.

Scholarly contributions: The DIP framework contributes to several domains of scholarship: First, in public economics, it introduces a compensation architecture that decouples lifetime income enhancement from short-term budgetary expansion, embedding wage reform within a long-horizon growth framework.

Second, in behavioral governance, it operationalizes loss aversion and intertemporal incentives in a formal administrative structure, demonstrating how incentive alignment can produce endogenous compliance without reliance solely on detection and punishment.

Third, in the political economy of development, it offers a pathway out of the corruption–low productivity–low revenue equilibrium by restructuring the economic logic of discretionary authority rather than merely intensifying enforcement.

Taken together, these elements position DIP not simply as a policy instrument, but as a structural reframing of the relationship between compensation, integrity, and state capacity.

Future directions: Further research should focus on empirical piloting, sector-specific implementation, and cross-country comparative modeling to test behavioral responsiveness and fiscal dynamics under diverse institutional contexts. The central proposition, however, remains clear: when long-term personal economic security is tied to institutional integrity, the internal logic of governance shifts. Public administration evolves from a negotiation-based structure toward a rule-based, performance-oriented system aligned with national development objectives.

References

Bank, W. (2021). *World development report 2021: Data for better lives*. World Bank. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1600-0

Becker, G. S. (1968). Crime and punishment: An economic approach. *Journal of Political Economy*, *76*(2), 169–217. https://doi.org/10.1086/259394

Fisman, R., & Svensson, J. (2007). Are corruption and taxation really harmful to growth? Firm-level evidence. *Journal of Development Economics*, *83*(1), 63–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2005.09.009

Fund, I. M. (2016). *Managing Government Compensation and Employment—Institutions, Policies, and Reform Challenges*. International Monetary Fund. https://www.imf.org/external/np/pp/eng/2016/040816a.pdf

Fund, I. M. (2023). *Fiscal monitor: On the path to policy normalization*. International Monetary Fund. https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/FM

Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, *47*(2), 263–291. https://doi.org/10.2307/1914185

Miguel Goede. (2019). E-Estonia: The e-government cases of Estonia, Singapore, and Curaçao. *Archives of Business Research*, *7*(2). https://doi.org/10.14738/abr.72.6174

Olken, B. A. (2007). Monitoring corruption: Evidence from a field experiment in Indonesia. *Journal of Political Economy*, *115*(2), 200–249. https://doi.org/10.1086/517935

Quah, J. S. T. (2010). *Public administration Singapore-style*. Emerald Group Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1108/S0732-1317(2010)19

Reinikka, R., & Svensson, J. (2004). Local capture: Evidence from a central government transfer program in Uganda. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *119*(2), 679–705. https://doi.org/10.1162/0033553041382120

Tanzi, V. (1998). *Corruption, governmental activities, and markets (IMF Working Paper No. 98/98)*. International Monetary Fund. https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/journals/001/1994/099/article-A001-en.xml

Tanzi, V., & Davoodi, H. (1997). Corruption, Public Investment, and Growth. In *IMF Working Paper*. International Monetary Fund. https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/journals/001/1997/073/article-A001-en.xml

Van Rijckeghem, C., & Weder, B. (2001). Bureaucratic corruption and the rate of temptation: Do low wages in the civil service cause corruption? *Journal of Development Economics*, *65*(2), 307–331. https://doi.org/10.5089/9781451849424.001

Wang, L. (2024). A Study on the Application of Loss Aversion Theory from the Perspective of Behavioral Economics: Taking the Fields of Business and Education as Examples. *Highlights in Business, Economics and Management*, *45*, 613–617. https://doi.org/10.54097/h88a6f90

Zhang, P. (2023). Anti-corruption campaign, political connections, and court bias: Evidence from Chinese corporate lawsuits. *Journal of Public Economics*, *222*, 104861. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2023.104861

Author(s) Statements:
Acknowledgements: Not applicable.
Author contributions: The contribution of the authors is equal.
Funding: No funding was received for this study.
Availability of data and materials: Not applicable.
Ethics Declarations:
Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable.
Consent for publication: Not applicable.
Consent to participate: Not applicable.
Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
Informed consent: Not applicable.
Consent for publication: All authors agreed with the content and gave explicit consent to submit the manuscript to *Journal of Economics and Political Economy*
Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

CRediT Author(s) Statements:

Contribution	DP Quoc			
Conceptualization	X			
Methodology	X			
Software	X			
Validation	X			
Formal analysis	X			
Investigation	X			
Resources	X			
Data curation	X			
Writing –original draft	X			
Writing –review & editing	X			
Visualization	X			
Supervision	X			
Project administration	X			
Funding acquisition	X			