### Economic and Social Thought

#### econsciences.com

### Pre-assessment of Editor/Field Editor

Article number	
Title of the article	
Date of receipt by the editor/editor of the field	
Date of report	

Below table has been marked by editor/editor of the field.

5-Very good 4-	-Good	3-Fair	2-Bad	1-Very bad				
				5	4	3	2	1
Is the article proper for the publishing realm of JEST?								
Are the documents of secretariat process completed?								
Are the spelling rules properly fulfilled?								
Is the language used academic/scientific?								
Is there any doubt about plagiarism at any level?								
Are the title and contents in a harmony?								
Is the abstract sufficient?								
Does the article make any contribution to the science?								
Does the article make any contribution the related field?								
Are the data set and sources of data reliable?								
Are the evidences clear and sufficient about the hypothesis?								
Are the results sur	fficient?							
Is the literature re	view suff	ficient?						
Is there SSCI cita	tion abou	t 30 per cent?						
Is it sufficient for	the level	of JEST Publishin	g?					
Can the article be	send to t	he Peer Reviewer	?*	Yes		No		

\* If the answer is 'No', please write your reasons.

Dear author, the article that above given information about, could not be send to the Peer Reviewer. Because:

## Economic and Social Thought

econsciences.com

Editor/Field Editor

signature

Thank you for your interest to JEST.

# Economic and Social Thought

econsciences.com