

Journal of
Social and Administrative Sciences

www.kspjournals.org

Volume 3

December 2016

Issue 4

**The Effects of Economic Policies in Turkey: An
Application for the Period After 2000**

By Mehmet BÖLÜKBAŞ[†]

Abstract. The main objective of the study is to analyze the effects of economic policies implemented in Turkey for the period after 2000. Therefore, firstly the theoretical and empirical literature has been done for economic policies, and then an econometric analysis was conducted to determine the effects of economic policies on macroeconomic indicators and the relationship between with each other. The study consists of three parts. In the first part, general information about economic policies is presented and some definitions were made. In the second part, theoretical debates for economic policies and macroeconomic models in open economy were examined. In the third section, the historical development of economic policies implemented in Turkey economy and empirical literature was reported and a general assessment has made with the findings obtained by using the VAR method. The results obtained from this study can be summarized as follows: monetary policy overrides about solving the inflation problem in Turkey economy in the period after 2000 but fiscal policy is more effective than monetary policy on the real economy. Additionally, a substituent feature between monetary and fiscal policy was appeared and it was observed that monetary policy located in a position following fiscal policy in terms of Turkey economy.

Keywords. Monetary policy, Fiscal policy, Turkey, VAR Analysis.

JEL. E52, E62, O53, C01.

Highlights

- * Monetary policy is a priority policy about solving the inflation problem in Turkey economy in the period after 2000 and it is possible to say monetary policies are more effective than fiscal policies on affecting inflation rates. But fiscal policy is stronger than monetary policy in the context of affecting the real economy.
- * A substitutional property has emerged between monetary policy and fiscal policy and it was observed that monetary policy located in a position following fiscal policy in Turkey economy.
- * At the same time, the budget balance has not been shaped according to the monetary policy. That means the fiscal policy does not follow the monetary policy, on the contrary monetary policy follows fiscal policy in Turkey economy. This can be explained by the upright posture of fiscal policies in the period after 2000.
- * Government spending and developments in money supply affect the national income level almost equally.

[†] This summary depends on the PhD thesis which was completed by advisory of Assoc. Prof. Dr. Osman PEKER in Nazilli Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences, Department of Economics, in Adnan Menderes University. Thesis defence was made in 05/24/2016 to the PhD thesis jury and accepted. The original language is Turkish and the thesis is consisted of 265 pages.

☎ . +90 456 233 10 00 -2228

✉ . mbolukbas@gumushane.edu.tr

- * The real sector is not too sensitive to the asset sector as a result of the impact response functions in Turkey economy for the period after 2000.
- * A dominance relation between money and finance policy has been identified in Turkey economy.
- * Real exchange rate is sensitive to real money supply and interest. Hence, it is understood that the monetary authorities should consider the exchange rate developments.

Summary

The importance of economic policies in terms of countries has gained value especially after 1970s and the success of the economy has been measured by the effectiveness of these policies. From this point of view, these studies are common in the literature. Unlike other studies, the effects of economic policies and the coordination between economic policies examined in terms of dominance are basic issues in this study.

In this context, main goal of the study is to research the effects of economic policies with the data of Turkey economy for the period after 2000. Correspondingly, firstly theoretical and empirical literature was conducted in this study, then an econometric analysis was carried out to examine the relationship between monetary policy and fiscal policy, after that the findings obtained from the econometric analysis were evaluated in the light of theoretical information.

The effects of economic policies on macroeconomic variables have been researched by using seven variables (BD: Budget Deficit, ER: Exchange Rate, GDP: Gross Domestic Product, GE: Government Expenditure, INF: Inflation, INT: Interest Rate, M2: Money Supply) VAR model and 2000:Q1-2015:Q2 period was taken into consideration. Theoretical and empirical results reached in the study are as follows.

When we look at the theoretical conclusions of the study, it is not possible to mention the consensus on the effects of economic policies. Classical and Keynesian approaches, known as traditional approaches, have different proposal in economic policies. Classical approach has argued that money cannot have an impact on the real economy due to seeing money as a tool and has believed that only real factors affect the economy. In addition to this, the Classical approach defined fiscal policy as an intervention of the state to the market and for this reason fiscal policy was not considered necessary. According to Keynesian approach which has a new approach based on Classical approach, money is not just a tool also it has ability to affect the level of production and employment. Consequently there is no separation between monetary market and real market. Furthermore Keynesian approach suggests that economic conditions will improve with the intervention of the state to the market.

Apart from these traditional approaches, it does not seem possible to say that Monetarist, New Classical and New Keynesian which are known as modern approaches, do not have integrity on attitudes towards economic policies. Monetarist approach argues that if the monetary policy follows the rules, it leads to meaningful results on macroeconomic developments. But New Classical and New Keynesian approaches do not agree with this suggestion and they differ from with each other in the effectiveness of monetary and fiscal policies.

In the light of these theoretical discussions on economic policies when we look at the applied economic policies, it is seen that different effects are observed in various period. The exchange rate targeting was held in the foreground as monetary policy at the beginning of the 2000s, but following the crises in 2000 and 2001 the inflation targeting application started and interest rates were used as anchor. Looking at the fiscal policies in the period after 2000, it seems that there are some targets as reducing public sector borrowing requirement, increasing public sector

primary surplus, provision of budget balance, constitutional reforms and increasing privatizations.

The empirical results of this study provide important findings on the economic policies in Turkey economy for the period after 2000. These findings are listed as follows;

Two VAR models were set up in the study; Model 1 and Model 2. According to these VAR models, if government expenditure is used as fiscal policy and money supply is used as monetary policy, the power of these policies to influence the real sector is not very different from each other. Also in this study's econometric analysis states that monetary policy is a priority policy about solving the inflation problem in Turkey economy in the period after 2000 and it seems possible to say monetary policies are more effective than fiscal policies on affecting inflation rates. Whereas fiscal policy is stronger than monetary policy in the context of affecting the real economy.

At the same time, the budget balance has not been shaped according to the monetary policy. That means the fiscal policy does not follow the monetary policy, on the contrary monetary policy follows fiscal policy in Turkey economy. Namely a substitutional property has emerged between monetary policy and fiscal policy and it was observed that monetary policy located in a position following fiscal policy in Turkey economy. Besides all these results, econometric analysis findings show that government spending and developments in money supply affect the national income level almost equally, the real sector is not too sensitive to the asset sector as a result of the impact response functions in Turkey economy for the period after 2000 and a dominance relation between money and finance policy has been identified in Turkey economy.

Eventually when we compared to the results of this study with the other studies, it is possible to say that the study has more different results than Snyder and Bruce (2002), Çetin and Çetin (2007), Ali et al. (2009), Senbet (2011), Anna (2012), Şen and Kaya (2015)s' studies. But the results of this study have similar results with the studies of Hussain (1982), Darrat (1984), Ansari (1996) and Düzgün (2010). Finally this study satisfy the expectation about the real influence of the fiscal policy is more than monetary policy and this result gives us chance to tell that fiscal policy is more effective than monetary policy in Turkey in the period after 2000.

References

- Abel, A. B., Bernanke, Ben S. & Croushore, D. (2008). *Macroeconomics* (6th ed.). Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
- AEP. (2003). 58. *Hükümet Acil Eylem Planı*. 07.10.2015.
<http://docplayer.biz.tr/3890419-T-c-58-hukümet-acil-eylem-planı-aep.html>
- Akat, A. S. (2002). Mali Milat.09.10.2015.
<http://www.gazetevatan.com/asaf-savas-akat-913-yazar-yazisi-mali-milat/>
- Akat, A. S. (2004). *Dalgalı Kur ve Para Politikası: Bir Parasal Kural Önerisi*. İstanbul: İstanbul Bilgi Üniversitesi Yayınları.
- Akçay, O. C., Alper, C. E. and Özmucur, S. (1996). *Budget deficit, Money supply and inflation: Evidence from low and high frequency data for Turkey*. İstanbul: Bogazici University, Department of Economics.
- Akyıldız, H. and Eroğlu, Ö. (2004). Türkiye Cumhuriyeti Dönemi Uygulanan İktisat Politikaları. *Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi*, 9(1), 43-62.
- Akyol, M. (2009). *Stand-by anlaşmaları çerçevesinde Türkiye'de uygulanan maliye politikalarındaki dönüşüm*. Yayınlanmamış doktora tezi, Marmara Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü.
- Alesina, A. & Perotti, R. (1996). Fiscal discipline and the budget process, *The American Economic Review*, 86(2), 401-407. (Papers and proceedings of the hundredth and eighth annual meeting of the American Economic Association San Francisco, CA, January 5-7, 1996 May).
- Ali, S., Irum, S. & Ali, A. (2009). Whether fiscal stance or monetary policy is effective for economic growth in case of South Asian countries? *The Pakistan Development Review*, 47(4), 791-799.
- Anderson, L. C. & Carlson, K. M. (1970). A monetarist model of economic stabilization. *Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Review*, 52, 7-27.
- Anderson, L. C. & Jordan, J. L. (1968). Monetary and fiscal actions: A test of their relative importance in economic stabilization. *Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Review*, 50, 11-24.
- Ando, A. & Modigliani, F. (1965). The relative stability of monetary velocity and the investment multiplier. *American Economic Review*, 55, 693-728.
- Andolfatto, D. (2005). *Macroeconomic Theory and Policy*. Preliminary Draft, Simon Fraser University.
- Anna, C. (2012). The relative effectiveness of monetary and fiscal policies on economic activity in Zimbabwe (1981:4-1998:3) An error correction approach. *International Journal of Management Sciences and Business Research*, 1(5), 1-35.
- Ansari, M. I. (1996). Monetary vs. fiscal policy: Some evidence from vector autoregression for India. *Journal of Asian Economics*, 7(4), 677-698.
- Ardıç, O. and Yılmaz, P. (2002). Para-Banka Uluslararası İktisat ve Türkiye Ekonomisi. (2. Baskı). Ankara: Seçkin Yayıncılık, Başarı Grubu Müfettişlik Uzmanlık Sınavlarına Hazırlık Dizisi.
- Arıcan, E. (2005). Türkiye'de Çıpa Uygulamaları ve Sonuçları 1990-2004 Dönemi. *Marmara Üniversitesi İİBF Dergisi*, XX(1), 49-76.
- Aslan, M. (2007). Türkiye'de 1987-2006 Döneminde Maliye ve Para Politikalarının İktisadi Büyüme Etkileri Üzerine Ampirik Bir Çalışma. *Eskişehir Osmangazi Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 8(2), 1-24.

Journal of Social and Administrative Sciences

- Asteriou, D. & Hall, S. G. (2007). *Applied econometrics* (A modern approach using EViews and Microfit Revised Edition). New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Atkins, F. J. & Serletis, A. (2003). Bounds tests of the Gibson paradox and the Fisher effect: Evidence from low-frequency international data. *The Manchester School*, 71(6), 673-679.
- Bağimsız Sosyal Bilimciler. (2001). *Güçlü Ekonomiye Geçiş Programı Üzerinde Değerlendirmeler*. 23.10.2015. http://www.bagimsizsosyalbilimciler.org/Yazilar_BSB/BSBgepp.pdf
- Ball, L., Mankiw, N. G. & Romer, D. (1988). The new Keynesian economics and the output-inflation trade-off. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 1-65.
- Bank of England (2015) NOP Inflation Attitudes Survey. 18.09.2015 <http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/publications/Pages/other/nop.aspx>
- Barro, R. J. (1981). *Money, expectations and business cycles: Essays in Macroeconomics*. New York: Academic Press.
- Barro, R. J. (1984). *Macroeconomics*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Barro, R. J. (1989). New Classical and Keynesians, or the good guys and the bad guys. *NBER Working Paper Series*, 2982.
- Bassetto, M. (2000). Inflation, equilibrium and government commitment. *Northwestern University*, Unpublished manuscript.
- Batten, D. S. & Hafer, R. W. (1983). The relative impact of monetary and fiscal actions on economic activity: A cross-country comparison. *Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis*, 5-12.
- Baumol, W. J. (1977). Say's (at least) eight laws, or what Say and James Mill may really have meant, *Economica*, 44, 145-161.
- Baumol, W. J. (1999). Retrospectives: Say's law, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 13(1), 195-204.
- Baykal, C. M. (2007). Hukuki Boyutlarıyla Finansal Krizler. *Bankacılar Dergisi*, 60, 33-48.
- Beetsma, R., Debrun, X. & Klaassen, F. (2001). Is fiscal policy coordination in EMU desirable? *IMF Working Paper*, 178.
- Benjamin, D. K. & Kochin, L. A. (1984). War, prices and interest rates: A marital solution to Gibson's paradox. *NBER Books, Chapter: A Retrospective on the Classical Gold Standard 1821-1931*, 587-612.
- Bennett, H. & Loayza, N. (2002). Policy biases when the monetary and fiscal authorities have different objectives. *Central Bank of Chile*, 299-330.
- Bergman, U. M. & Hutchison, M. M. (2010). Expansionary fiscal contractions: Re-evaluating the Danish case. *International Economic Journal*, 24(1), 71-93.
- Bernanke, B. & Blinder, A. (1992). The federal funds rate and the channels of monetary transmission. *American Economic Review*, 82(4), 901-921.
- Bernanke, B. S. & Gertler M. (1995). Inside the black box: The credit channel of monetary policy transmission. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 9(4), 27-48.
- Binay, Ş. and Kunter, K. (1998). *Mali liberalleşmede Merkez Bankasının rolü 1980-1997*. TCMB Araştırma Genel Müdürlüğü, Tartışma Tebliği, Ankara, 9803.
- Blanchard, O. J. (1990). Why does money affect output? A survey. *Handbook of Monetary Economics*, 2, 779-835.
- Blanchard, O. & Perotti, R. (1999). An empirical characterization of the dynamic effects of changes in government spending and taxes on output. *NBER Working Paper Series*, 7269.
- Blanchard, O. (2004). Fiscal dominance and inflation targeting: Lessons from Brazil. *NBER Working Paper Series*, 10389.
- Blinder, A. S. & Solow, R. M. (1974). Does fiscal policy still matter? *Journal of Public Economics*, 2(3), 19-37.
- Bloom, N. (2009). The impact of uncertainty shocks. *Econometrica*, 77(3), 623-685.
- Bofinger, P. (2001). *Monetary policy: goals, institutions, strategies, and instruments*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Boratav, K. (2011). *Türkiye İktisat Tarihi 1908-2009*. (15. Baskı). Ankara: İmge Kitabevi.
- Bordo, M. D. & Rockoff, H. (2011). The influence of Irving Fisher on Milton Friedman's monetary economics, *NBER Working Paper Series*, 17267.
- Bordo, M. D. & Schwartz, A. J. (2003). IS-LM and monetarism, *NBER Working Paper Series*, 9713.
- Bordo, M. D., Meissner, C. M. & Stuckler, D. (2009). Foreign currency debt, financial crises and economic growth: A long run view. *NBER Working Paper Series*, 15534.
- Brown, W. W. & Santoni, G. J. (1983). Interest rates, commodity price changes and gibson's paradox. *Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Working Paper Series*, 4, 3-26.
- Brunner, K. (1968). The role of money and monetary policy, *Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Review*, 9-24.
- Buiter, W. H. (1999). The fallacy of the fiscal theory of the price level. *Bank of England*, Unpublished manuscript
- Butkiewicz, J. L. & Özdoğan, Z. (2013). Financial crisis, monetary policy reform and the monetary transmission mechanism in Turkey, *University of Delaware, Working Paper Series*, 8.
- Celásun, M. and Rodrik, D. (1989). *Turkish experience with debt: Macroeconomic policy and performance*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, NBER Book Series.
- Cerda, R. A., Gonzalez, H. and Lagos, L. F. (2005). *Is fiscal policy effective? Evidence for an emerging economy: Chile 1833-2000*. Santiago: Documento de Trabajo, 292, 1-15.
- Ceylan, E. (2010). *Para Politikası Amaçları, Araçları, Türkiye'de 1980 Sonrası İzlenen Para Politikası Uygulamaları Ve 2000 Yılı Sonrası Türk Dış Ticaretindeki Gelişmeler*. Yayınlanmamış yüksek lisans tezi, Marmara Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü.
- Cho, D. W. (1979). The relative stability of monetary velocity and the investment multiplier in the United States: Some additional evidence. *Southern Economic Journal*, 45(4), 1285-1291.
- Christiano, L. J. & Eichenbaum, M. (1992). Liquidity effect and monetary transmission mechanism. *NBER Working Paper Series*, 3974.
- Christiano, L. J. & Fitzgerald T. J. (2000). Understanding the fiscal theory of the price level. *Economic Review*, 36(2).
- Cogley, T., Sargent, T. J. & Surico, P. (2011) *The return of the Gibson paradox*. 04.03.2015 www.econ.upf.edu/docs/seminars/surico.pdf
- Colander, D. D. (1998). *Macroeconomics* (3rd ed.). Boston: Irwin McGraw-Hill.
- Copeland, L. S. (2005). *Exchange rates and international finance* (4th. Ed.). Glasgow: Pearson Education Limited, Financial Times Prentice Hall.
- Coşkun, Y. (2012). Repo ve Ters Repo Düzenlemeleri: Banker Krizi Sonrası Ortaya Çıkışı ve Finansal Başarısızlık Dersleri Işığında Politika Önerileri. *Business and Economics Research Journal*, 3(1), 59-90.
- Cömertler, N. (2002). *Türkiye'de para politikası ödemeler dengesi ilişkilerinin parasal yaklaşım çerçevesinde analizi*. Yayınlanmamış doktora tezi, Adnan Menderes Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü.
- Curdia, V. (2007). Monetary policy under sudden stops. *Federal Reserve Bank of New York Staff Report*, 278.
- Çelebi, E. (2002). Atatürk'ün Ekonomik Reformları ve Türkiye Ekonomisinin Etkileri (1923-2002). *Doğuş Üniversitesi Dergisi*, 5, 17-30.
- Çelen, K. E. M. (2014). *What can the CBRT Lawyers learn from the crisis?* TCMB Sunumları, Antalya.
- Çelik, Celal (2002) Mali Milat Kalkmamalı Ancak Uygulamada Değişikliğe Gidilmelidir. *Vergi Dünyası Dergisi*, 256.
- Çetin, A. and Çetin B. (2007). Alternatif Para Politikası Değişkenlerinde Maliye Politikası, Döviz Kuru ve Enflasyonun Büyüme Üzerindeki Etkisi, *İktisat İşletme ve Finans*, 22. Yıl, 123-134.
- Darrat, A. F. (1984). The dominant influence of fiscal actions in developing countries. *Eastern Economic Journal*, 10(3), 271-284.
- De Leeuw, F. & Kaichbrenner, J. (1969). Monetary and fiscal actions: A test of their relative importance in economic stabilization, *Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Review*, 6-11.
- Demir, M. and İnan, M. (2011). Türkiye'de Mali Kural. *Gazi Üniversitesi İİBF Dergisi*, 13(2), 25-66.
- DePrano, M & Mayer, T. (1965). Tests of the relative importance of autonomous expenditures and money. *American Economic Review*, 55, 729-752.
- Diboğlu, S. and Kibritçiöğlu, A. (2004) Inflation, output growth and stabilization in Turkey 1980-2002. *Journal of Economics and Business*, 56(1), 43-61.
- Dickey, D. A. & Fuller, W. A. (1979). Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 74(366), 427-431.
- Dillard, D. (1950). *The economics of John Maynard Keynes: The theory of monetary economy*. London: Published by Crosby Lockwood and Sons.
- Dimand, R. F. (2000). Irving Fisher and the quantity theory of money: the last phase, *Journal of the History of Economic Thought*, 22(3).
- Dixon, H. (1997). Reflections on New Keynesian economics; the role of imperfect competition. *Surfing Economics*, Chapter 4, 74-106.
- Doğrusöz, B. (2009). *Döviz Kredilerinin Yeni Rejimi*. 18.10.2015. <http://www.utikad.org.tr/haberler/?id=3315>
- Dornbusch, R. & Fischer, S. (1990). *Macroeconomics* (5th. ed.). Singapore: McGraw-Hill International Editions, Economics Series.
- Dornbusch, R. & Fischer, S. (1998). *Makroekonomi* (Çev. Ak, S., Fisunoğlu, M., Yıldırım, E. ve Yıldırım, F.). McGraw-Hill-Akademi Ortak Yayımları, İstanbul: Ayhan Matbaası.
- Dönek, E. (1995). Relative effectiveness of monetary and fiscal policies on GNP in the Turkish economy. *Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*, 6, 409-415.
- Düzgün, R. (2010). Türkiye Ekonomisinde Para ve Maliye Politikalarının Etkinliği. *Uluslararası Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi*, 3(11), 230-237.
- Edwards, J. R. (1991). *Macroeconomics equilibrium and disequilibrium analysis*, New York: Macmillan Publishing Company.
- Edwards, K. E. M. (1991). *Macroeconomics equilibrium and disequilibrium analysis*. New York: Macmillan Publishing Company.
- Eğilmez, M. and Kumcu, E. (2009). *Ekonomi Politikası Teori ve Türkiye Uygulaması*. (13. Baskı), İstanbul: Remzi Kitabevi.
- Eğilmez, M. (2013). *Ortodoks ve Heterodoks Ekonomi Politikaları*. 21.09.2015. <http://www.mahfigilmez.com/2013/04/ortodoks-ve-heterodoks-ekonomi.html> 21/09/2015
- Enders, W. (1995). *Applied Econometric Time Series* (1st ed.). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Enders, W. (2004). *Applied Econometric Time Series* (2nd ed.). New York: John Wiley & Sons.

- Ercan, H. (2000). Açık Ekonomi, İstikrar Tedbirleri ve Sıcak Para: Türkiye. Ankara: Milli Produktivite Merkezi Yayınları, 642.
- Erçel, G. (1996). *Türkiye’de para politikası uygulamaları ve etkileri*. Ankara Üniversitesi Siyasal Bilgiler Fakültesi, Ankara.
- Erçel, G. (2006). *Globalization and The Turkish Economy*. US: Vanderbilt University, 1-11.
- Ergün, İ. (1985). *İstikrar Politikası ve Enflasyon*. 1984’ten 1985’e Türkiye Ekonomisi Semineri, I. Oturum – Para ve Maliye Politikaları, Ankara Sanayi Odası Geleneksel Semineri, Ankara.
- Eroğlu, N. (2007). Atatürk Dönemi İktisat Politikaları (1923-1938). *Marmara Üniversitesi İİBF Dergisi*, XXIII (2), 63-73.
- Ersel, H. and Özatay, F. (2008). Fiscal Dominance and Inflation Targeting: Lessons From Turkey. *Emerging Markets Finance & Trade*, 44(6), 38-51.
- Evans, M. K. & Klein, L. R. (1967). *The Wharton econometric forecasting model*. Philadelphia: Econometric Research Unit, Wharton School.
- Falay, N. (2000). İstikrar Programları ve Türkiye, 07.20.2015. <http://www.toprakisveren.org.tr/2000-46-nihatfalay.pdf>
- Fatima, A. & Iqbal, A. (2003). The relative effectiveness of monetary and fiscal policies an econometric study. *Pakistan Economic and Social Review*, XLI(1&2), 93-116.
- Fender, J. (2012). *Monetary Policy*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Fernandez, F. D. C. & Cos, P. H. D. (2006). The economic effects of exogenous fiscal shocks in Spain a SVAR approach. European Central Bank Working Paper Series, 647, 1-55.
- Ferre, M. (2008). Fiscal policy coordination in the EMU. *Journal of Policy Modelling*, 30(2).
- Fisher, I. (1907). *The rate of interest its nature determination and relation to economic phenomena*. New York: The Macmillan Company.
- Fisher, I. (1912). *The purchasing power of money, its determination and relation to credit interest and crises*. New York: Cornell University Library, The Macmillan Company.
- Fleming, J. M. (1962). Domestic financial policies under fixed and floating exchange rates. *IMF Staff Papers*, 9, 369-377.
- Friedman, M. & Meiselman, D. (1963) *The relative stability of monetary velocity and the investment multiplier in the United States, 1897-1958*. A Series of Research Studies Prepared for the Commission on Money and Credit, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Friedman, M. (1951). Comments on monetary policy. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 33, 186-191.
- Friedman, M. (1968). The role of monetary policy, *The American Economic Review*, LVIII(1).
- Friedman, M. (1969). *The optimum quantity of money and other essays*. Chicago: Aldine Publishing Company.
- Gartner, M. (1993). *Macroeconomics under flexible exchange rates*. Manchester: London School of Economics Handbooks in Economics Series.
- Ghura, D. (1995). Effects of macroeconomic policies on income growth, inflation and output growth in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Policy Modelling*, 17(4), 367-395.
- Gibson, A. H. (1923) The future course of high class investment values. *Banker’s Magazine*, 115, 15-34.
- Goodfriend, M. & King, R. G. (1997). The new neoclassical synthesis and the role of monetary policy. *NBER Macroeconomics Annual*, 12, 231-296.
- Gordon, R. J. (1987). *Macroeconomics* (4th ed.). Boston: Little Brown.
- Gordon, R. J. (1990). What is new-Keynesian economics? *Journal of Economic Literature*, 28(3), 1115-1171.
- Gökmezb, B. (2011). Para politikası aktarım mekanizması ve Türkiye’deki etkinliği. Yayınlanmamış doktora tezi, Kadir Has Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü.
- Gramlich, E. M. (1971). The usefulness of monetary and fiscal policies as discretionary stabilization tools. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 3(2), 506-532.
- Granger, C. W. J. & Newbold, P. (1974). Spurious regressions in econometrics. *Journal of Econometrics*, 2(2), 111-120.
- Granger, C. W. J. (1969). Investigating causal relations by econometric models and cross-spectral methods. *Econometrica*, 37(3), 424-438.
- Greenwald, B. & Stiglitz, J. E. (1987). Keynesian, new Keynesian and new Classical economics. *Oxford Economic Papers*, 39(1), 119-133.
- Gruver, E. M. (2013). *Say’s law: A historical analysis and its impact on economics*. Grove City College Lecture’s Notes.
- Guillermo, A. C. (2001). Capital markets and the exchange rate with special reference to the dollarization debate in Latin America. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 33(2), 312-334.
- Guillermo, A. C., Izquierdo A. & Mejia, L. F. (2004). On the empirics of sudden stops: The relevance of balance-sheet effects. *NBER Working Paper Series*, 10520.
- Gujarati, D. N. (2010). *Temel Ekonometri* (Çev. Şenesen, Ü. ve Şenesen, G. G.). İstanbul: Literatür Yayıncılık.
- Güneş, H. (1998). *Dünden Bugüne Para Politikaları, 75 Yılda Paranın Seriveni*, İstanbul: Türkiye Ekonomik ve Toplumsal Tarih Vakfı Yayınları, 187-194.
- Halaç, U. (2007). Türkiye’de Para ve Maliye Politikalarının Koordinasyonu. *İktisat İşletme ve Finans Dergisi*, 22(254), 31-46.
- Hanif, M. N. & Arby, M. F. (2003). Monetary and fiscal policy coordination. *Munich Personal RePec Archive Paper*, 10307.
- Hasan, M. S. (2001). Monetary and fiscal impacts on economic activities in Bangladesh: Further evidence. *The Bangladesh Development Studies*, 27(4), 101-119.
- Hazine Müsteşarlığı. (2002). *Güçlü Ekonomiye Geçiş Programı Politika Metinleri*. Niyet Mektupları ve Ekonomik Kararlara İlişkin Mevzuat, II, Ankara: T.C. Başbakanlık Hazine Müsteşarlığı.
- Hazine Müsteşarlığı. (2015). *Türkiye Ekonomisi*. Ekonomik Göstergeler Sunumu, Ankara: T.C. Başbakanlık Hazine Müsteşarlığı.
- Hejdra, B. J. & Ploeg, V. D. F. (2002). *The Foundations of Modern Macroeconomics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hsing, Y. (2005). Impact of monetary policy, fiscal policy and currency depreciation on output: The case of Venezuela. *Briefing Notes in Economics*, 65, 1-9.
- Hussain, M. (1982). The relative effectiveness of monetary and fiscal policy: An econometric case study of Pakistan. *Pakistan Economic and Social Review*, 20(2), 159-181.
- Hülagü, T. and Yaşın, C. (2014). *Türkiye’de Firmaların Yabancı Para Borçluluğu ve Kur Riskine İlişkin Mikro Değerlendirmeler*. Ankara: TCMB Ekonomi Notları, 13.
- Ireland, P. N. (2005). The monetary transmission mechanism, *Federal Reserve Bank of Boston Working Papers*, 06(1).
- Inan, A. (1969). *Fatandas İçin Medeni Bilgiler ve Kemal Atatürk’ün El Yazıları*. Ankara: Türk Tarih Kurumu.
- Jain, T. R. & Sandhu, A. S. (2009). *Macroeconomics*, Delhi: Star Offset Printers.
- Jha, R. (2007). Fiscal policy in developing countries: A synoptic view, *ASARC Working Paper*, 2007/01.
- Johansen, S. (1995). *Likelihood based inference in cointegrating vector autoregressive models*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Çelen, K. E. M. (2014). What Can The CBRT Lawyers Learn From The Crisis. TCMB, Antalya.
- Kabanda, R. (2013). *Relative effectiveness of fiscal and monetary policy on national income and inflation in a post conflict economy: The case of Rwanda*. PhD Thesis, University of Nairobi School of Economics.
- Kalkınma Bakanlığı (2015) *Temel Ekonomik Göstergeler*. 24.08.2015, <http://www.kalkinma.gov.tr/Pages/TemelEkonomikGostergeler.aspx>
- Kara, A. H. (2006). *Turkish experience with implicit inflation targeting*. TCMB Çalışma Tebliği, 06/03.
- Kara, A. H. and Orak, M. (2008). *Enflasyon Hedeflemesi*. Ekonomik Tartışmalar Konferansı, İstanbul, 1-69.
- Karluk, R. (2007). *Cumhuriyetin İlanından Günümüze Türkiye Ekonomisi’nde Yapısal Dönüşüm*. (Gözden geçirilmiş 11. Baskı). İstanbul: Beta Yayınları.
- Kates, S. (1997). On the true meaning of Say’s law, *Eastern Economic Journal*, 23(2), 191-202.
- Kazgan, G. (1985). *Ekonomide Dış Açık Büyüme*, İstanbul: Altın Kitaplar Yayınevi, Bilimsel Sorunlar Dizisi.
- Kazgan, G. (2008). *İktisadi Düşünce veya Politik İktisadın Evrimi*, (13. baskı). İstanbul: Remzi Kitabevi.
- Kazgan, G. and Kazgan, H. (1964). *Türkiye’de Maliye Politikası 1950-1960*. İstanbul: İstanbul Üniversitesi İktisat Fakültesi Yayınevi.
- Kesriyeli, M. (1997). 1980’li yıllardan Günümüze Para Politikası Gelişmeleri, *TCMB Araştırma Genel Müdürlüğü*, Yayın No: 97/4.
- Keuzenkamp, H. A. (1991). A precursor to Muth: Tinbergen’s 1932 model of rational expectations. *The Economic Journal*, 101(408), 1245-1253.
- Keyder, N. and Ertunga, E. İ. (2012). *Para Teori Politikası Uygulama* (Geliştirilmiş 12. Baskı). Ankara: Seçkin Yayıncılık.
- Keynes, J. M. (1936). The general theory of employment, interest and money, Online book, 12 Kasım 2014, <http://cas.umkc.edu/economics/people/facultypages/kregel/courses/econ645/winter2011/generaltheory.pdf>
- Kızılot, Ş. (2002). *Mali Milat Paniği Geliyor*. 09.10.2015. <http://www.hurriyet.com.tr/mali-milat-panigi-geliyor-106526>
- Kıbrıçoğlu, A. (2001). Türkiye’de Ekonomik Krizler ve Hükümetler 1969-2001. *Yeni Türkiye Dergisi Ekonomik Kriz Özel Sayı*, 41, 1-17.
- King, R. G. (1993). Will the new Keynesian macroeconomics resurrect the IS-LM model? *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 7(1), 67-82.
- Korkmaz, E. (1998). *Ekonomide Daralma, İstikrar Sorunu ve Çözüm Yolları, Tüzük Değerlendirme Paneli*, Ankara.
- Koru, A. T. and Özmen, E. (2003). Budget deficits, money growth and inflation: The Turkish evidence. *Articles in Applied Economics*, 1-17.
- Krugman, P. R. & Wells, R. (2005). *Macroeconomics* (1st ed.). New York: Worth Publishers.
- Kumhof, M., Nunes, R. & Yakadina, I. (2008). Simple monetary rules under fiscal dominance. *International Finance Discussion Papers*, 937.
- Kuttner, K. N. & Mosser, P. C. (2002). The monetary transmission mechanism: Some answers and further questions. *Economic Policy Review*, 8(1), 15-26.
- Kwiatkowski, D., Phillips, P. C. B., Schmidt, P. & Shin, Y. (1992). Testing the null hypothesis of stationarity against the alternative of a unit root test. *Journal of Econometrics*, 54, 159-178.
- Langdana, F. K. (2002). *Macroeconomic policy demystifying monetary and fiscal policy*. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

- Lange, O. (1942). *Say's law: A restatement and criticism, studies in mathematical economics and econometric*. In memory of Henry Schultz, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Laurens, B. & Piedra, E. G. (1998). Coordination of monetary and fiscal policies. *IMF Working Paper*, 98(25).
- Lee, C. J. & Petrucci, C. R. (1986). The Gibson paradox and the monetary standard. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 68(2), 189-196.
- Leeper, E. (1991). Equilibria under 'active' and 'passive' monetary and fiscal policies. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 27(1), 129-147.
- Loewy, M. (1988). Reganomics and reputation revisited. *Economic Inquiry*, 26, 139-215.
- Lozano, I. (2008). Budget deficit, money growth and inflation: Evidence from the Colombian case. *Borradores de Economia*, 537, 1-25.
- Lucas, R. E. (1973). Some internal evidence on output-inflation tradeoffs. *American Economic Review*, 63, 326-334.
- Lucas, R. E. (1980). The death of Keynesian economics. *Issues and Ideas*, University of Chicago, 18-19.
- Luporini, V. (2008). The monetary transmission mechanism in Brazil: Evidence from a var analysis. *Estudos Economicos (Sao Paulo)*, 38(1), 1-13.
- Lütkepohl, H. (1991). *New introduction to multiple time series analysis*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Mackinnon, J. G. (1996). Numerical distribution functions for unit root and cointegration tests. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 11(6), 601-618.
- Maliye Bakanlığı. (2005). *Yıllık Ekonomik Rapor*. 14.10.2015. <http://www.maliye.gov.tr/apk>
- Maliye Bakanlığı. (2007). *Yıllık Ekonomik Rapor*. 14.10.2015. <http://www.maliye.gov.tr/apk>
- Maliye Bakanlığı. (2008). *Yıllık Ekonomik Rapor*. 14.10.2015. <http://www.maliye.gov.tr/apk>
- Maliye Bakanlığı. (2009). *Yıllık Ekonomik Rapor*. 14.10.2015. <http://www.maliye.gov.tr/apk>
- Maliye Bakanlığı. (2010). *2010-2012 Orta Vadeli Program* 12.11.2015. <http://www.bunco.gov.tr/TR42/orta-vadeli-program.html>
- Maliye Bakanlığı (2015) Bütçe verileri 12.11.2015 <http://www.maliye.gov.tr/Sayfalar/Temel-Mali-Tablolar.aspx>
- Mankiw, N. G. & Romer, D. (1991). *New Keynesian economics*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Mankiw, N. G. (1991) The reincarnation of Keynesian economics. *NBER Working Paper Series*, 3885.
- Mankiw, N. G. (2004). *Principles of Macroeconomics*, (3rd ed.). Ohio: Published by Thomson/South-Western, Mason.
- Mankiw, N. G. (2009). *Macroeconomics* (7th ed.). New York: Worth Publishers.
- Markowitz, H. (1952). Portfolio selection. *The Journal of Finance*, 7(1), 77-91.
- Matei, A. & Dogaru, T. C. (2013). Coordination of public policies in Romania. An empirical analysis. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 81, 65-71.
- McCallum, B. (1986). Monetary versus fiscal policy effects: A review of the debate. In Hater R. (eds). *The monetary versus fiscal policy debate lessons from two decades studies in monetary and macroeconomic theory and policy*, 9-29.
- Meltzer, A. H. (1995). Monetary, credit and (other) transmission processes: A monetarist perspective. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 9(4), 49-72.
- Metin, K. (1998). The relationship between inflation and the budget deficit in Turkey. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 16(4), 412-422.
- Mishkin, F. S. (1995). Symposium on the monetary transmission mechanism. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 9(4), 3-10.
- Mishkin, F. S. (1996). The channels of monetary transmission: Lessons for monetary policy. *NBER Working Paper Series*, 5464.
- Mishkin, F. S. (2001). The transmission mechanism and the role of asset prices in monetary policy. *NBER Working Paper Series*, 8617.
- Mishkin, F. S. (2004). *The economics of money banking and financial markets*, (7th ed.). Boston: The Addison-Wesley Series in Economics.
- Mishkin, F. S. and Schmidt-Hebbel, K. (2007). *Monetary policy under inflation targeting: An introduction*. Central Bank of Chile Working Papers.
- Modigliani, F. (1944). Liquidity preference and the theory of interest and money. *Econometrica*, 2(1), 45-88.
- Mundell, R. A. (1968). *International economics*. New York: Macmillan.
- Muth, J. F. (1961). Rational expectations and theory of price movements. *Econometrica*, 29(3), 315-335.
- Nobay, R. & Johnson, H. G. (1977). Monetarism a historic-theoretic perspective. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 15(2), 470-485.
- Nordhaus, W. D. (1994). Policy games: Coordination and independence in monetary and fiscal policies. *Brooking Papers on Economic Activity*, 2, 139-216.
- Oktar, S. (1998). Cumhuriyet'in Başında Parasal Sorunlar ve Merkez Bankasının Kurulması. *Marmara Üniversitesi İİBF Dergisi*, XIV(2), 241-254.
- Oktar, S. and Dalyancı, L. (2012). Türkiye Ekonomisinde Para Politikasının Ekonomik Büyüme Üzerine Etkisi. *Marmara Üniversitesi İİBF Dergisi*, XXXII(1), 1-18.
- Öçal, T., Çolak, Ö. F., Togay, S. and Eser, K. (1997). *Para Banka Teori Politika, (1. Baskı)* Ankara: Gazi Kitabevi.
- Önder, İ. (2011). *İktisat Üzerine Düşünceler*, İstanbul: Yordam Kitap, Pasifik Ofset.
- Ozatay, F. (2011). *Parasal İktisat Kuram ve Politika (2. Baskı)*, Ankara: Efil Yayınevi.
- Özçelebi, O. (2009). *Türkiye'de iktisat politikalarının hedefleri ve optimal politikanın belirlenmesi 1990-2006 dönemi*. Yayınlanmamış doktora tezi, İstanbul Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü.
- Öztürk, N. and Bayraktar, N. (2010). Döviz kurlarını açıklamaya yönelik yeni yaklaşımlar, C. Ü. *İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Dergisi*, 11(1), 157-191.
- Özyıldız, H. (2015). *Şirketlerin Dolar Sıkıntısı 2009 Yılındaki Bir Kararnameye Dayanıyor*. 18.10.2015. <http://www.hakanozyildiz.com/2015/03/sirketlerin-dolar-sknst-2009-ylndaki.html>
- Pagan, A. (1987). Three econometric methodologies: A critical appraisal. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 1(1), 3-23.
- Palley, T. I. (1997). Optimal monetary policy in the presence of a monetarist transmission mechanism. *Economics Letters*, 55, 109-114.
- Parkin, M. (1982). *Modern Macroeconomics*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Parkin, M. (2010). *Modern Macroeconomics* (10th ed.). Boston: Addison Wesley Publishing Company.
- Peersman, G. & Smets, F. (2001). The monetary transmission mechanism in the Euro area: more evidence from VAR analysis. *European Central Bank Working Paper Series*, 91.
- Pentecost, E. J. (1993). *Exchange rate dynamics: a modern analysis of exchange rate theory and evidence*, Vermont: Edward Elgar Publishing Limited.
- Perلمان, M. (2006). *History of Economic Analysis*. Great Britain: Taylor & Francis ElibRARY.
- Perry, G. & Lederman, D. (1997). *The importance of macroeconomic policy coordination: A note*. (unpublished paper). Washington: World Bank.
- Pesaran, H. H. & Shin, Y. (1998). Generalized impulse response analysis in linear multivariate models. *Economics Letters*, 58(1), 17-29.
- Peterson, T. G. (2001). The transmission mechanism of monetary policy. *Monetary Bulletin*, 4, 62-77.
- Phelps, E. S. (1985). *Political economy: An introductory text*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company.
- Phelps, E. S. (1991). Recent developments in macroeconomics. Volume 1, Aldershot, Hants, England ; Brookfield, Vt., USA : E. Elgar.
- Phillips, P. C. B. & Perron, P. (1988). Testing for a unit root in time series regression. *Biometrika*, 75(2), 335-346.
- Pirimöğlü, B. (1991). *Para Politikası*. Eskişehir: Anadolu Üniversitesi İİBF Yayınevi.
- Rahman, H. (2005). Relative effectiveness of monetary and fiscal policies on output growth in Bangladesh: a var approach. *Bangladesh Bank Working Paper Series*, 0601.
- Romer, C. D. (1993). The nation in depression. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 7(2), 19-39.
- Romer, D. (1996). *Advanced macroeconomics*. McGraw Hill Advanced Series in Economics.
- Rozaliyev, Y. N. (1978). *Türkiye'de kapitalizmin gelişme özellikleri, (1923-1960)*, (Çev. Yaren, A.). Ankara: Onur Yayınları.
- Sancar, C. (2015). Para ve Maliye Politikalarının Nispi Etkinliği: Türkiye İçin Bir Uygulama. *Uluslararası Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi*, 8(39), 933-943.
- Sancar, C. (2015). Relative effectiveness of monetary and finance policies: An application for Turkey. *Uluslararası Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi*, 8(39), 933-944.
- Sargent, T. J. & Wallace N. (1981). Some unpleasant monetarist arithmetic. *Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis Quarterly Review*, 5(3).
- Sawyer, M. (2011). Economic policy with endogenous money, money macroeconomics and Keynes. *Essays in honour of Victoria Chick Palgrave Macmillan*, 1(1), 35-44.
- Say, J. B. (1821). *Letters to Mr. Malthus and the catechism of political economy*. London: Sherwood, Neely & Jones.
- Schaechter, A. Kinda, T., Budina, N. & Weber, A. (2012) Fiscal rules in response to the crisis-toward the "next-generation" rules. A new dataset. *IMF Working Paper*, 187.
- Schumpeter, J. A. (1946). John Maynard Keynes 1883-1946. *The American Economic Review*, 36(4), 495-518.
- Schwartz, P. & Castaneda, J. (2008). Monetary and fiscal policies under EMU: Do we need more coordination in times of economic distress? *Report for the Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs*, Madrid.
- Senbet, D. (2011). The relative impact of fiscal versus monetary actions on output: A vector autoregressive (VAR) approach. *Business and Economics Journal*, 25, 1-11.
- Seyidoğlu, H. (2003). *Uluslararası İktisat (Geliştirilmiş 15. Baskı)*. İstanbul: Güzem Yayınları.
- Sherman, H. J. & Evans, G. R. (1984). *Macro-economics, Keynesian, Monetarist and Marxist Views*. New York: Horper & Row Publishers.
- Sims, C. A. (1980). Macroeconomics and reality. *Econometrica*, 48(1), 1-48.
- Sims, C. A. (1992). Interpreting the macroeconomic time series facts: the effects of monetary policy. *European Economic Review*, 36(5), 975-1000.
- Sims, C. A. (1994). A simple model for study of the determination of the price level and the interaction of monetary and fiscal policy. *Economic Theory*, 4(3), 381-399.

- Slavin, S. L. (2008). *Macroeconomics* (9th. ed.). New York: The Mc-Graw Hill Series.
- Snowdon, B. & Vane H. R. (2005). *Modern macroeconomics, its origins development and current state*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing Limited.
- Snowdon, B. and Vane, H. R. (2012). *Modern Makreokonominin Temelleri Gelişimi ve Bugünü*. (Çev. B. Kaplamacı, B. E. Balın, A. A. Cingöz, E. Tahsin, H. Deniz, N. Yıldırım, D. M. Akan, F. K. Özenci, A. Arı, E. Ersoy) Ankara: Efil Yayınevi.
- Snyder, T. C. & Bruce D. (2002). Tax cuts and interest rate cuts: an empirical comparison of the effectiveness of fiscal and monetary policy. *Journal of Business & Economics Research*, 2(8).
- Sowell, T. (1972). *Say's law: An historical analysis*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Spengler, J. J. (1945). The physiocrats and Say's law of markets. *Journal Of Political Economy*, 53(4), 317-347.
- Strawczynski, M. (2014). *Optimal design of new generation fiscal rules: Coping with the business cycle and discretionary tax reductions*, 13.11.2015 http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2439621.
- Şen, H. and Kaya, A. (2015). The relative effectiveness of monetary and fiscal policies on growth: What does long-run SVAR model tell us? *Munich Personal RePEc Archive*, 65903, 1-24.
- Şener, S. (2005). Türkiye Ekonomisinde İkinci Dönem Liberal İktisat Politikaları. *Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart Üniversitesi Yönetim Bilimleri Dergisi*, 3(1), 141-148.
- Şimşek, H. A. (2007). Türkiye'de 2000 Sonrasında Uygulanan İstikrar Programlarının Kamu Maliyesine Etkileri. *Finans Politik & Ekonomik Yorumlar*, 44(512), 52-68.
- Şimşek, M. and Kadılar, C. (2008). Gibson çelişkinin Türkiye verileri ile analizi. *Manas Journal of Social Studies*, 10(20), 115-127.
- Taban, S. (2011). Küresel Finans Krizi Öncesi ve Sonrası Dönemde Türkiye'de Ekonomik Büyümenin Dinamikleri, *Seta Analiz*, 37, 1-36.
- Tanrıöver, B. and Yamak, N. (2007). Nominal faiz oranı-genel fiyat düzeyi ilişkisinin Gibson paradoksü çerçevesinde analizi. *Maliye Dergisi*, 168, 186-200.
- Tarı, R. (2008). *Ekonometri* (Gözden geçirilmiş 5.Baskı). Kocaeli: Avcı Ofset.
- Taylor, J. B. (1995). The monetary transmission mechanism: An empirical framework, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 9(4), 11-26.
- TBMM.(2015). Kanunlar, 05.10.2015. <https://www.tbmm.gov.tr/kanunlar/k4651.html>
- TCMB. (1999a). *2000 Yılı Enflasyonu Düşürme Programı: Kur ve Para Politikası Uygulaması*. Ankara: TCMB Raporları.
- TCMB. (1999b). *Altmışyedinci Hesap Yılı Hakkında Banka Meclisince Hazırlanan Faaliyet Raporu Bilanço, Kar ve Zarar Hesabı*. Ankara: TCMB Denetleme Kurulu Raporu.
- TCMB. (2001). *Türkiye'nin Güçlü Ekonomiye Geçiş Programı*. Ankara: TCMB Raporları.
- TCMB.(2002). *Yetmişinci Hesap Yılı Hakkında Banka Meclisince Hazırlanan Faaliyet Raporu Bilanço, Kar ve Zarar Hesabı*.Ankara: TCMB Denetleme Kurulu Raporu.
- TCMB.(2003). *Yetmişbirinci Hesap Yılı Hakkında Banka Meclisince Hazırlanan Faaliyet Raporu Bilanço, Kar ve Zarar Hesabı*.Ankara: TCMB Denetleme Kurulu Raporu.
- TCMB.(2004). *Yetmişikinci Hesap Yılı Hakkında Banka Meclisince Hazırlanan Faaliyet Raporu Bilanço, Kar ve Zarar Hesabı*.Ankara: TCMB Denetleme Kurulu Raporu.
- TCMB.(2005). *Yetmişüçüncü Hesap Yılı Hakkında Banka Meclisince Hazırlanan Faaliyet Raporu Bilanço, Kar ve Zarar Hesabı*.Ankara: TCMB Denetleme Kurulu Raporu.
- TCMB.(2006). *Yetmişdördüncü Hesap Yılı Hakkında Banka Meclisince Hazırlanan Faaliyet Raporu Bilanço, Kar ve Zarar Hesabı*.Ankara: TCMB Denetleme Kurulu Raporu.
- TCMB.(2008). *Yetmişaltıncı Hesap Yılı Hakkında Banka Meclisince Hazırlanan Faaliyet Raporu, Yıllık Rapor 2007*. Ankara: TCMB Raporu.
- TCMB.(2009). *Yetmişyedinci Hesap Yılı Hakkında Banka Meclisince Hazırlanan Faaliyet Raporu, Yıllık Rapor 2008*.Ankara: TCMB Raporu.
- TCMB.(2015). *TCMB Tarihi*.15.10.2015. <http://sanalmuze.tcmb.gov.tr/sanalmuze/tr/zaman-cizgisi/3/TCMB+TARIHI>
- TCMB.(2015). *TCMB Kanunu*. 03.07.2015 <http://www.tcmb.gov.tr/wps/wcm/connect/a7b93c7e-bb25-4fcc-b110-23f2d1dad07d/kanun.pdf?MOD=AJPERES&CACHEID=ROOTWORKSPACEa7b93c7e-bb25-4fcc-b110-23f2d1dad07d>
- TCMB.(2015). *İstatistikler-Elektronik Veri Dağıtım Sistemi*. 17.10.2015 <http://www.tcmb.gov.tr/wps/wcm/connect/TCMB+TR/TCMB+TR/Main+Menu/İstatistikler>
- Telatar, E. (1999). Para ve Maliye Politikası Dominant Rejimlerde Fiyat Belirlenemezlik Problemi ve Merkez Bankası Bağımsızlığı. *Ekonomik Yaklaşım*, 10(35).
- Tinbergen, J. (1932). Ein problem der dynamik. *Zeitschrift Für Nationalökonomie*, III(2), 169-84.
- Tobin, J. (1958). Liquidity preference as behaviour towards risk. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 25(2), 65-86.
- Tobin, J. (1993). Price flexibility and output stability: An old Keynesian view. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 7(1), 45-65.
- Tobin, J. (1997). An overview of the general theory (A second edition of the general theory). *Cowles Foundation Paper*, 2(947).
- Tobin, J. (1997). An overview of the general theory. *Cowles Foundation Paper*, 2(947).
- TÜİK.(2014). *İstatistik Göstergeler*. 1923-2013. 12.10.2015 http://www.tuik.gov.tr/Kitap.do?metod=KitapDetay&KT_ID=0&KITAP_ID=160
- TÜİK.(2015). *Temel İstatistikler*.02.10.2015. <http://www.tuik.gov.tr/UstMenu.do?metod=temelist>
- Türk, İ. (1982). *Atatürk ve Türk Mali Sistemi: Atatürk Dönemi Ekonomi Politikası ve Türkiye'nin Ekonomik Gelişimi*. Ankara: Ankara Üniversitesi SBF Yayınları, 513.
- Tüzün, E. (2007). *Türkiye'de 1980-2004 Döneminde Para Arzının Enflasyon Üzerindeki Etkisinin İncelenmesi*.Yayınlanmamış doktora tezi, İstanbul Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü.
- Uluatam, Ö. (1981). *Yorum.İ.İ.T.İ.A. Vergi Reformları Kongresi Tebliğ ve Yorumları*, İstanbul.
- Uludağ, İ. (1990). *Türkiye Ekonomisi*. İstanbul: Marmara Üniversitesi Yayınları.
- Uygur, E. (2000a). 25 Yıldır Süren Yüksek Enflasyon ve Enflasyonla Mücadele. *İktisat İşletme ve Finans*, 15(167), 7-25.
- Uygur, E. (2000b). Recent Macroeconomic Developments and Policies in Turkey. *Paper prepared for United Nations Economic Commission for Europe*.
- Uygur, E. (2000c). Erratic Growth and Investment Behaviour in Turkey. *Country Economic Memorandum, Structural Reforms for Sustainable Growth*, The World Bank.
- Uygur, E. (2001). Krizden Krize Türkiye: 2000 Kasım ve 2001 Şubat Krizleri. *Türkiye Ekonomi Kurumu Tartışma Metni* 2001/1, (İkinci Genişletilmiş Taslak). Ankara: Türkiye Ekonomi Kurumu.
- Uygur, E. (2011). Enflasyon, Para ve Mali Baskı: İktisat Politikasında Geri Kalmışlık. *İktisat İşletme ve Finans*, 16(189).
- Von Hagen, J & Mundschenk, S. (2001). The political economy of policy coordination in the EMU. *Swedish Economic Policy Review*, 8, 107-137.
- Vural, İ. Y. (2008). Atatürk Dönemi Maliye Politikaları: Liberal İktisattan Karma Ekonomiye. *Dumlupınar Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 20, 77-114.
- Waliullah & Rabbi F. (2011). Effectiveness of monetary policy in Pakistan: Empirical evidences based on bound test approach. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 2(1), 190-199.
- Waliullah & Rabbi, F. (2011). Effectiveness of monetary policy in Pakistan: Empirical evidences based on bound test approach. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 2(1), 190-199.
- Weidmann, J. (2013). Who calls the shots? The problem of fiscal dominance. (Speech at th BDF-BBK Macroeconomics and Finance Conference) 09.07.2015 http://www.bundesbank.de/Redaktion/EN/Reden/2013/2013_05_24_weidmann.html
- Woodford, M. (1994). Monetary policy and price level determinacy in a cash-in advance economy. *Economic Theory* 4(3), 345-380.
- Woodford, M. (1995). Price level determinacy without control of a monetary aggregate. *NBER Working Paper Series*, 5204.
- Woodford, M. (1999). *Revolution and evolution in twentieth-century macroeconomics*. Konferans bildirisi, Library of Congress, June 1999, Washington D.C., 1-32.
- Woodford, M. (2001). Fiscal requirements for price stability. *NBER Working Paper*, 8072.
- Wyplosz, C. (2012). Fiscal rules: Theoretical issues and historical experiences. *NBER Working Paper Series*, 17884.
- Yamak, N. and Tanrıöver, B. (2007). *Türkiye'de nominal faiz oranı-genel fiyat düzeyi ilişkisi: Gibson paradoksü*. Konferans bildirisi, 8.Türkiye Ekonometri ve İstatistik Kongresi'07, Malatya.
- Yapraklı, S. and Yurttaşçıkız, Z. Ç. (2010). Türkiye'de Gibson çelişkinin geçerliliği: Ekonometrik bir analiz (1970-2009). *Atatürk Üniversitesi İİBF Dergisi*, 24(3), 23-39.
- Yeldan, E. (2004). Türkiye Ekonomisi'nde Dış Borç Sorunu Ve Kalkınma Stratejileri Açısından Analizi, *Çalışma ve Toplum*, 1, 1-19.
- Yenal, O. (2001). *Cumhuriyet'in İktisat Tarihi*. İstanbul: Türkiye Sınai Kalkınma Bankası A.Ş., Creative Yayıncılık.
- Yetkiner, H. (2010). *Sorularla Makro İktisat*. Ankara: Efil Yayınevi.
- Yıldırım, E., Lopcu, K., Çakmaklı, S. and Özkan, Ö. (2010). Yeni Keynesyen makro ekonomik bir model: Türkiye uygulaması. *Ege Akademik Bakış Dergisi*, 10(4), 1269-1277.

Journal of Social and Administrative Sciences

- Young, W., Leeson, R. & Darity, W. J. (2004). *Economics, economists and expectations (microfoundations to macroapplications)*. London: Routledge Taylor & Francis Group.
- Younus, S. (2014). *Relative effectiveness of monetary and fiscal policies on output growth in Bangladesh: A cointegration and vector error correction approach*. Central Bank of Sri Lanka, International Research Conferences.
- Yükseler, Z. (2010). *Örtük Mali Kuraldan Açık Mali Kurala Geçiş*, 01.09.2015
<http://www.tcmb.gov.tr/wps/wcm/connect/308be945-5a3b-4b8c-96f5-3a6c64e9b045/mali+kural-zy.pdf?MOD=AJPERES&CACHEID=ROOTWORKSPACE308be945-5a3b-4b8c-96f5-3a6c64e9b045>



Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>).

