

Journal of Social and Administrative Sciences

econsciences.com

Volume 12

September 2025

Issue 3

Political Leadership and Agricultural Transformation: A New Research Agenda.

By Emelie Rohne Till, Martin Andersson,
& Isabelle Tsakok, Springer 2025

By Meimei Hua LIU †

Abstract. This book addresses a major research gap in development economics: the pivotal, yet largely ignored, role of political leadership in successful agricultural transformation. While the importance of a thriving agricultural sector for escaping hunger and poverty is widely acknowledged, successful transitions are rare in the developing world. The authors argue that a crucial element is the interaction between effective political leadership and the socio-political system. To rigorously test this proposition, the authors hypothesize that political leadership is necessary, though not sufficient, for successful agricultural transformation in latecomer countries. Adopting Karl Popper's methodology of falsification, the study tests the hypothesis by seeking to refute it, using a comparative economic history approach across four case studies: the successful transformation in Taiwan, China (1950–1980), the failed transformations in the Philippines and Malawi, and the mixed record of Ethiopia. The study develops an analytical framework based on four dimensions of effective leadership: vision, commitment, timeframe, and inclusiveness. The analysis finds that the one success case (Taiwan) exhibited all four dimensions, while the failures and the stalled mixed case lacked them. As none of the case studies refute the core hypothesis, the authors accept, for now, that political leadership is necessary. The book concludes by successfully initiating a new research agenda that integrates the political economy of leaders into the analysis of agricultural development..

Keywords. Political Leadership; Agricultural Transformation; Popperian Methodology; Economic Development; Comparative Economic History.

JEL. B41; N50; O13; O20; P16.

Book Review

Political Leadership and Agricultural Transformation: A New Research Agenda, by Emelie Rohne Till, Martin Andersson, and Isabelle Tsakok, is a highly focused and methodologically rigorous contribution to the field of economic development. It directly confronts a critical omission in the literature: the role of political leadership in the process of agricultural transformation. While there is broad consensus that a successful shift from a low-productivity agrarian economy to a high-productivity agricultural and non-farm economy is vital for sustained poverty reduction and economic catch-up, cases of such success remain rare. The book argues that the structural characteristics and macroeconomic forces of transformation are well-documented, but the missing explanatory variable lies in the political economy of leaders and their interaction with the socio-political system. This volume's explicit objective is to fill this gap, offering not a final answer, but a structured framework and a clear research agenda for future inquiry.

† National Research University, Higher School of Economics, Department of Management, Promyshlennaya 17. Russia.

Journal of Social and Administrative Sciences

The authors define a successful agricultural transformation as a process of productivity increases sustained over at least 25–30 years, coupled with sustained income increases for the majority of rural households. Their core working hypothesis is: Political leadership is necessary, though not sufficient, for successful agricultural transformation in latecomer countries. By testing this hypothesis against a set of carefully selected comparative case studies, the book provides compelling, albeit initial, evidence for the criticality of effective political agency in development outcomes.

The Research Gap and Core Framework

The book opens by noting the scarcity of studies that systematically investigate the role of leadership in agricultural transformation, despite the extensive literature on both agricultural development and political leadership for societal change. The authors position their work as integrating these two fields. They acknowledge that agricultural transformation is conditioned by well-known macro-level factors—such as a stable political environment, effective technology transfer, and functional markets—but emphasize that these conditions must be engendered by a strategic and committed political force.

To avoid a tautological conclusion—i.e., that successful transformation requires successful leadership—the authors meticulously define effective political leadership in relation to the agricultural sector across four key, empirically verifiable dimensions:

1. Vision: The existence of a strategy centered on sustained and broad-based increases in agricultural productivity and rural incomes as a centerpiece of national development.
2. Commitment: The dedication of public resources and consistent policy attention necessary to turn the vision into reality (e.g., public spending steered toward productive areas).
3. Timeframe: The ability to sustain commitment and vision over the necessary long term (25–30 years or more), navigating immediate political and economic pressures.
4. Inclusiveness: Efforts to ensure that the fruits of transformation are broadly shared, benefiting the majority of the population and addressing inequality (often measured by the Gini coefficient).

Methodological Innovation: The Popperian Approach

A crucial strength of the book lies in its methodological contribution, adopting the philosophy of science put forth by Karl Popper in *Conjectures and Refutations*. Instead of seeking confirmation for their hypothesis (that leadership is necessary), the authors deliberately seek to refute it. The hypothesis would be refuted by identifying a "black swan" event: a case of successful agricultural transformation achieved in the *absence* of effective political leadership, or a case of exceptional leadership without any subsequent transformation.

This Popperian approach lends intellectual rigor to a difficult subject, as it forces the analysis to define necessary and sufficient conditions and explicitly hunt for causality and counter-evidence. The book thus moves beyond anecdotal claims of "great men" and instead focuses on empirically demonstrating the association and potential causal link between a leader's

vision and action concerning the agricultural sector, and the eventual success or failure of transformation.

Comparative Case Analysis: Testing the Hypothesis

The authors test their hypothesis using four in-depth, historically contextualized case studies representing different outcomes:

1. Taiwan, China (1950–1980): The Success Case Taiwan serves as the exemplar of successful transformation that demonstrates all four aspects of effective leadership. The leadership, particularly the Kuomintang (KMT) under Chiang Kai-shek, embraced the centrality of agriculture, anchored it in long-term national development plans, and committed resources effectively. This commitment was evident in agricultural public spending being strategically steered and in efforts to ensure the fruits of growth were widely shared through land reform and inclusive policies. This combination of long timeframe, commitment, and inclusiveness resulted in sustained productivity increases and income gains for rural households, providing the necessary foundation for subsequent industrialization.

2. The Philippines (1946–2020): The Failure Case The case of the Philippines reveals a repeated failure to translate vision into action. While the Filipino leadership often paid lip service to the importance of the rural sector and inclusive growth, the commitment, long-term outlook, and inclusiveness were starkly absent. The authors attribute the failure to an inability to overcome vested interests, a lack of mobilization of resources, and a reluctance to undertake structural reforms like land distribution. The great potential of the Philippines remains largely unrealized due to the persistent inability of leadership to demonstrate the stability and commitment required for long-term change.

3. Malawi (1964–2020): The Failure Case Malawi's history, marked by the oppressive rule of Hastings Banda and subsequent periods of short-term opportunism, also failed to produce a successful transformation. The leadership in the later period lacked a unified, clear vision focused on long-term investment and inclusiveness. Instead, the sector was subjected to haphazard and changing policies, giving way to politicization (e.g., the input subsidy program). The authors conclude that Malawi is another "white swan" consistent with the hypothesis: a lack of effective leadership and a resulting lack of successful agricultural transformation.

4. Ethiopia (1994–2020): The Mixed Case Ethiopia offers the most nuanced case, showing two sub-periods. From 1994 to 2015, the country demonstrated promising progress toward successful transformation, with a significant sixfold increase in agricultural production and a doubling of yields for key crops. This period aligns with observable political leadership that focused on the agricultural sector. However, after 2015, leadership became less evident, and progress, especially concerning inclusiveness, stalled. This mixed record provides strong internal evidence supporting the hypothesis: where the four aspects of effective leadership were present, progress was made; where they became less evident, progress halted.

Concluding Remarks and New Research Agenda

The book's main finding is that the hypothesis that effective political leadership is necessary for successful agricultural transformation still stands. None of the four comparative cases provided the refutation needed to falsify

M.H. Liu, JSAS, 12(3), 2025, pp.98-101

the claim, thereby strengthening the need to integrate leadership into development models.

Political Leadership and Agricultural Transformation is more than a comparative history; it is a successful theoretical and methodological exercise. It makes a compelling case for a new research agenda that moves beyond mere structural analysis of the economy to include the political economy of leaders as a crucial factor. The authors hope their use of the Popperian methodology will inspire future scholars to continue the rigorous search for refutations or "black swans," thereby refining the understanding of the pivotal role of leadership in one of the most fundamental processes of economic development. This volume is an essential starting point for researchers, policymakers, and development practitioners interested in the political dimensions of agricultural growth and poverty reduction in the developing world.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

