Pre-assessment of Editor/Field Editor

Article number	
Title of the article	
Date of receipt by the editor/editor of the field	
Date of report	

Below table has been marked by editor/editor of the field.
5-Very good   4-Good   3-Fair   2-Bad   1-Very bad

	5	4	3	2	1
Is the article proper for the publishing realm of JSAS?					
Are the documents of secretariat process completed?					
Are the spelling rules properly fulfilled?					
Is the language used academic/scientific?					
Is there any doubt about plagiarism at any level?					
Are the title and contents in a harmony?					
Is the abstract sufficient?					
Does the article make any contribution to the science?					
Does the article make any contribution the related field?					
Are the data set and sources of data reliable?					
Are the evidences clear and sufficient about the hypothesis?					
Are the results sufficient?					
Is the literature review sufficient?					
Is there SSCI citation about 30 per cent?					
Is it sufficient for the level of JSAS Publishing?					
Can the article be send to the Peer Reviewer?*	Yes		No		

* If the answer is 'No', please write your reasons.

Dear author, the article that above given information about, could not be send to the Peer Reviewer. Because:

Editor/Field Editor

signature

Thank you for your interest to JSAS.